International Journal of Sustainable Energy and Environmental Research 2019 Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 29-35

2013 101.8,101.1, pp. 23-35 ISSN(e): 2306-6253 ISSN(p): 2312-5764 DOI: 10.18488/journal.13.2019.81.29.35 © 2019 Conscientia Beam. All Rights Reserved.



IMPACT OF THE OPTIMAL TILT ANGLE ON THE SOLAR PHOTOVOLTAIC ARRAY SIZE AND COST FOR A 100 KWH SOLAR POWER SYSTEM IN IMO STATE

D Ozuomba, Simeon¹⁺ Iniobong Edifon Abasi-Obot² Idorenyin Markson³ ¹Department of Electrical/Electronic and Computer Engineering, University of Uyo, Akwa Ibom, Nigeria Email: <u>simeonoz@yahoo.com</u> ²Department of Electrical/Electronic Engineering, Akwa Ibom State University, Mkpat Enin, Akwa Ibom, Nigeria ³Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Uyo, Akwa Ibom, Nigeria



(+ Corresponding author)

ABSTRACT

Article History Received: 22 October 2018 Revised: 29 November 2018 Accepted: 2 January 2019

Published: 27 February 2019

Keywords

Tilt angle Incident plane Solar energy Optimal tilt angle PVSyst software1. In this paper the impact of adjusting the optimal tilt angle of solar photovoltaic (PV) modules on monthly bases was studied and compared to that of the annually-fixed optimal tilt angle. PVSyst software was used to determine the optimal tilt angle for each month for a given case study site in Imo state in Nigeria. Mathematical models for computing the required PV array power to meet the daily energy demand of 100 kWh were presented. Addition parameters considered in the study were the PV array number of modules as well as PV array area and cost. For the case study 100 kWh daily energy demand, the selected PV module had a peak power rating of 100W, with a unit cost of N 18,000 and with dimensions that gave an area of 0.65945m^2. The results showed that there is about 4 % (annual average) reduction in the required PV array power when the monthly adjustment of the optimal tilt angle is used. December had the highest percentage reduction in the required PV array power. The reduction in the PV array power resulted in the corresponding reduction in PV array area and cost.

Contribution/Originality: This study is one of the very few studies which have investigated the impact of the optimal tilt angle on the solar photovoltaic array size and cost for the solar power system in Imo state, Nigeria.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent year, there is growing adoption of solar photovoltaic power across Nigeria (Bala *et al.*, 2008; Melodi and Famakin, 2011; Akinboro *et al.*, 2012; Azodo, 2014; Ohunakin *et al.*, 2014; Byrne *et al.*, 2017; Dike *et al.*, 2017; Saka *et al.*, 2017). This has become necessary as the national electric power grid has failed to meet the daily demand of the greater majority of the nation's population (Alawiye, 2011; Etukudor *et al.*, 2015; Rapu *et al.*, 2015; Audu *et al.*, 2017). Moreover, the negative health and environmental implications of using diesel and fossil fuel have also encouraged the adoption of PV power across Nigeria (Dieu-Hang *et al.*, 2017; Sangroya and Nayak, 2017). Furthermore, the sustained drop in the cost of solar panels and their accessories has also facilitated the increasing installation of solar powers in homes and office buildings (Babatunde, 2007; Jäger-Waldau, 2007).

One major drawback in the adoption of the solar power system is the initial investment cost (Dahlke, 2011; Feron, 2016; Alsharif, 2017). As such, this paper presents mathematical expressions and sample numerical computations to demonstrate the reduction in the PV array power, the number of modules, PV array area, and cost when a monthly adjusted optimal tilt angle of the solar module is implemented instead of the current practice of yearly-fixed optimal tilt angle. The good thing about the monthly adjustment is that it can easily be done manually. It does not require complex and expensive sun=tracking mechanism.

The paper presents the description of how to use PVsyst to obtain the optimal tilt angle for each month based on the monthly average daily peak sun hour. Also, the essential mathematical expressions for computing the PV array power, size and cost are presented. Sample numerical example was also presented for a solar power system that has 100 kWh daily energy demand.

2. METHODOLOGY

The site is a location in Owerri Imo state with coordinates of 5.512 (latitude) and 7.041 (longitude). The dataset for the global solar radiation on the horizontal plane was obtained from the NASA website (NASA, 2018). The radiation data were loaded into the PVSyst. The PVSyst software was then used to determine the solar radiation on a tilted plane. Particularly, the optimal tilt angle for each month of the year was noted as well as the yearly fixed optimal tilt angle.

The monthly optimal tilt angles were obtained using the project site meteorological window in PVSyst to manually adjust the tilt angle for the site meteorological data until the maximum tilted plane global radiation is observed for the given month. Importantly, radiation data for each tilt angle was exported to Microsoft Excel where the global radiation on a tilted plane was compared for each month for the various tilt angle. Through the use of trend line on the dataset, the optimal tilt angle was obtained for each of the months.

On the other hand, the optimal tilt for the annually-fixed tilted plane was computed using the expression (Anyanime *et al.*, 2016).

$$\beta_{\text{ONN}} = 3.7 + 0.69 \left| \emptyset \right| \tag{1}$$

where \emptyset denotes the site latitude in degree. For the site with 5.512 latitude, the optimal tilt angle is 7.50328 \approx 8° \approx 8°. PVsyst was used to determine the solar radiation in Peak Sun Hours (PSH) for the monthly adjusted optimal tilt angles and the annually-fixed tilt angle for the selected study site and the results are given in Table 1.

Month	Monthly Optimal tilt Angle (°)	PSH (h/day) On Horizontal Plane	PSH (h/day) On 8° Annually Fixed Tilted Plane	PSH (h/day) On Monthly Adjusted Optimally Tilted Plane
Jan	33.2	5.53	5.88	6.38
Feb	25.5	5.79	6.05	6.29
Mar	10	5.32	5.38	5.38
Apr	0	5.09	5.00	5.09
May	0	4.72	4.55	4.72
Jun	0	4.31	4.11	4.31
Jul	0	3.85	3.69	3.85
Aug	0	3.77	3.68	3.77
Sep	0	3.94	3.93	3.94
Oct	15.8	4.27	4.36	4.39
Nov	29.7	4.84	5.10	5.40
Dec	36.2	5.29	5.67	6.29
Annual Average	8°	4.73	4.79	4.98

 Table-1. The solar radiation in Peak Sun Hours (PSH) for the monthly adjusted optimal tilt angles and the annually-fixed tilt angle for the selected study site.

3. SIZING OF THE SOLAR PV ARRAY

The PV array power output, P_{OPV} for any given daily energy demand, E₁ in kWh is given as;

$$P_{OPV} = \frac{E_l}{(\eta_l) (\eta_c) (f_{dl}) (PSH)}$$
(2)

Where η_i is the inverter efficiency ($\eta_i = 0.90$), η_c is the charge controller efficiency ($\eta_c = 0.90$), f_{dl} are cumulative derating factors ($f_{dl} = 0.9$), PSH is the peak sunshine hours in hours/day. Given that $E_l = 100 \ kWh$, the

$$P_{OPV} = \frac{100}{(0.9)(0.9)(0.9)(PSH)} = \frac{154.321}{PSH}$$
(3)

The number of solar panels needed is N_s where

$$N_{s} = \frac{P_{OPV}}{P_{pv}} = \frac{154.321}{P_{pv} (\text{PSH})}$$
(4)

Where P_{pv} denotes the peak power rating of each PV module. In this study $P_{pv} = 100 Watt$

$$N_{s} = \frac{P_{\text{OPV}}}{P_{pv}} = \frac{(154.321)1000}{100(\text{PSH})} = \frac{1543.21}{\text{PSH}}$$
(5)

Let the area of each PV module be A_{pv} and the total area of the PV array be A_{AR} , then

$$A_{AR} = (A_{pv})N_s = 1543.21\left(\frac{A_{pv}}{\text{PSH}}\right)$$
(6)

Let the cost of each PV module be C_{pv} and the total cost of the PV array be C_{AR} , then

$$C_{AR} = (C_{pv})N_s = 1543.21\left(\frac{C_{pv}}{\text{PSH}}\right) \tag{7}$$

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The PV module parameters and cost were used to compute the PV array power output, the number of solar panels needed, the total area of the PV array and the total cost of the PV array for the annually-fixed optimal tilt angle and the monthly adjusted optimal tilt angle. The selected PV module has a peak power rating of 100W, with a unit cost of \mathbb{N} 18,000 and with dimensions that gave an area of $0.65945m^2$. The results for the PV array output power for the annually fixed optimal tilt angle and for the monthly adjusted optimal tilt angle are given in Table 2. Based on the results in Table 2, there is about 4 % (annual average) reduction in the required PV array power when the monthly adjustment of the optimal tilt angle is used. December had the highest percentage reduction in the required PV array power.

Table-2. Comparison of the output power for the annually fixed optimal tilt angle and for the monthly adjusted optimal tilt angle.

Month	Output Power (kW) for the Annually Fixed Optimal Tilt Angle	Output Power (kW) for the Monthly Adjusted Optimal Tilt Angle	Percentage Reduction in output power demand
Jan	26.24507	24.18824	7.8
Feb	25.5076	24.53434	3.8
Mar	28.5842	28.8842	0.8
Apr	30.8642	30.31847	1.8
May	33.9167	32.69513	3.6
Jun	37.54769	35.80534	4.6
Jul	41.82141	40.08338	4.2
Aug	41.93505	40.93395	2.4
Sep	39.26743	39.16777	0.3
Oct	35.39472	35.15285	0.7
Nov	30.25902	28.57796	5.6
Dec	27.21711	24.53434	9.9
Annual Average	32.26223	30.96225	4.0

International Journal of Sustainable Energy and Environmental Research, 2019, 8(1): 29-35



Figure-1. Output Power (kW) and percentage reduction in power due to monthly adjustment of the optimal tilt angle.

The implication of the reduction in the PV array power is that there is a corresponding reduction in the number of PV modules needed to provide the required power. Accordingly, Table 3 shows that there is also 4 % annual average reduction in the number of PV module when the monthly adjustment of the optimal tilt angle was used. Again December had the highest percentage reduction in the number of PV modules. Furthermore, there was also the corresponding reduction in PV array area and cost as shown in Table 4 and Table 5 respectively.

angie.			
Month	Number of Solar Panels Needed for the Annually Fixed Optimal Tilt Angle	Number of Solar Panels Needed for the Monthly Adjusted Optimal Tilt Angle	Percentage Reduction in the number of solar panels
Jan	263	242	8.0
Feb	256	246	3.9
Mar	287	287	0.0
Apr	309	304	1.6
May	340	327	3.8
Jun	376	359	4.5
Jul	419	401	4.3
Aug	420	410	2.4
Sep	393	392	0.3
Oct	354	352	0.6
Nov	303	286	5.6
Dec	273	246	9.9
Annual Average	323	310	4.0

Table-3. Comparison of the number of solar panels needed for the annually fixed optimal tilt angle and for the monthly adjusted optimal tilt angle.

International Journal of Sustainable Energy and Environmental Research, 2019, 8(1): 29-35



Figure-2. Comparison of the number of solar panels needed for the annually fixed optimal tilt angle and the monthly adjusted optimal tilt angle.

Month	PV Array Area $(m^2.)$ for the Annually Fixed Optimal Tilt Angle	PV Array Area (m ² .) for the Monthly Adjusted Optimal Tilt Angle	Percentage Reduction in the number of solar panels
Jan	173.4354	159.5869	8.0
Feb	168.8192	162.2247	3.9
Mar	189.2622	189.2622	0.0
Apr	203.7701	200.4728	1.6
May	224.213	215.6402	3.8
Jun	247.9532	236.7426	4.5
Jul	276.3096	264.4395	4.3
Aug	276.969	270.3745	2.4
Sep	259.1639	258.5044	0.3
Oct	233.4453	232.1264	0.6
Nov	199.8134	188.6027	5.6
Dec	180.0299	162.2247	9.9
Annual Average	213.0024	204.4295	4.0

Table-4. Comparison of the PV array area for the annually fixed optimal tilt angle and the monthly adjusted optimal tilt angle.

Table-5. Comparison of the PV array cost for the annually fixed optimal tilt angle and for the monthly adjusted optimal tilt angle.

Month	PV Array Cost in Naira for the Annually Fixed Optimal Tilt Angle	PV Array Cost in Naira for the Monthly Adjusted Optimal Tilt Angle	Percentage Reduction in the PV Array Cost
Jan	4,734,000.0	4,356,000.0	8.0
Feb	4,608,000.0	4,428,000.0	3.9
Mar	5,166,000.0	5,166,000.0	0.0
Apr	5,562,000.0	5,472,000.0	1.6
May	6,120,000.0	5,886,000.0	3.8
Jun	6,768,000.0	6,462,000.0	4.5
Jul	7,542,000.0	7,218,000.0	4.3
Aug	7,560,000.0	7,380,000.0	2.4
Sep	7,074,000.0	7,056,000.0	0.3
Oct	6,372,000.0	6,336,000.0	0.6
Nov	5,454,000.0	5,148,000.0	5.6
Dec	4,914,000.0	4,428,000.0	9.9
Annual Average	5,814,000.0	5,580,000.0	4.0

5. CONCLUSION

A method of using PVSyst software to determine the monthly optimal tilt angle for solar power installation at any given location is presented. In addition, the effect of using annually fixed optimal tilt and monthly optimal tilt angles are studied and compared. In particular, the effect of the annual and monthly optimal tilt angles on PV array power, number of required modules, the area the PV array will occupy and he total cost of the array are presented. The mathematical equations for computing the listed parameters were presented and then used in a sample numerical example to demonstrate the applicability of the ideas presented in this paper. The results showed that adjusting the PV modules on monthly basis produces a significant improvement in the overall PV power system; there is a reduction in the required solar power to meet a given daily energy demand. Accordingly, there is also, reduction in the number of PV modules, PV array area and PV array cost when the tilt angle of the solar modules are adjusted optimally once every month.

Funding: This study received no specific financial support.Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.Contributors/Acknowledgement: All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study.

REFERENCES

- Akinboro, F., L. Adejumobi and V. Makinde, 2012. Solar energy installation in Nigeria: Observations, prospect, problems, and solution. Transnational Journal of Science and Technology, 2(4): 73-84.
- Alawiye, A., 2011. The power sector and industrial development in Nigeria: Case company. Power Holding Company of Nigeria. Bachelor's Degree at Lahti University of Applied Sciences. pp: 31-42. Available from: https://www.theseus.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/37421/Alawiye_Abideen.pdf. [Accessed 16th November 2018].
- Alsharif, M.H., 2017. Comparative analysis of solar-powered base stations for green mobile networks. Energies, 10(8): 1-25.Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/en10081208.
- Anyanime, T.U., U.F. Mbetobong and A.U. Emmanuel, 2016. Development of site specific optimal tilt angle model for fixed tilted plane PV installation in Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. Science Journal of Energy Engineering, 4(6): 50-55. Available at: https://doi.org/10.11648/j.sjee.20160406.11.
- Audu, E., S.O. Paul and A. Ameh, 2017. Privitisation of power sector and poverty of power supply in Nigeria: A policy analysis. International Journal of Development and Sustainability, 6(10): 1218-1231.
- Azodo, P., 2014. Electric power supply, main source and backing: A survey of residential utilization features in Obantoko, Ogun State, Nigeria. Annals of the Faculty of Engineering Hunedoara, 12(4): 51-62.
- Babatunde, E., 2007. Solar energy, a friendly renewable energy option for Nigeria. Solar Energy, A Friendly Renewable Energy Option for Nigeria, Public Lecture Series Covenant University Press Ogun State, Nigeria, 1(15): 18-45.
- Bala, E., I. Dioha, A. Sambo, I. Zarma, A. Morakinyo and A. Garki, 2008. Assessment of diesel generator and solar PV for use in the global system mobile (GSM) phone industry in Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Solar Energy, 19(21): 1-8.
- Byrne, J., J. Taminiau, K.N. Kim, J. Lee and J. Seo, 2017. Multivariate analysis of solar city economics: Impact of energy prices, policy, finance, and cost on urban photovoltaic power plant implementation. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment, 6(4): e241.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/wene.241.
- Dahlke, S., 2011. Solar home systems for rural electrification in developing countries. An Industry Analysis and Social Venture Plan. Lecture note on Social Entrepreneurship at Terri Barreiro College of St. Benedict and St. John's University USA. Available from https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/6c43/cf40d3f325bac9f8e7b2ccbdc5d6e09f45a0.pdf [Accessed 11th November 2018].
- Dieu-Hang, T., R. Grafton, R. Martínez-Espiñeira and M. Garcia-Valiñas, 2017. Household adoption of energy and waterefficient appliances: An analysis of attitudes, labelling and complementary green behaviours in selected OECD

countries. Journal of Environmental Management, 197: 140-150.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.03.070.

- Dike, V., C. Opara-Nestor, J. Amaechi, D. Dike and T. Chineke, 2017. Solar PV system utilization in Nigeria: Failures and possible solutions. Pacific Journal of Science and Technology, 18(1): 51-61.
- Etukudor, C., A. Abdulkareem and O. Ayo, 2015. The daunting challenges of the Nigerian electricity supply industry. Journal of Energy Technologies and Policy, 5(9): 25-32.
- Feron, S., 2016. Sustainability of off-grid photovoltaic systems for rural electrification in developing countries: A review. Sustainability, 8(12): 1-26.Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/su8121326.
- Jäger-Waldau, A., 2007. PV status report 2008. Research, Solar Cell Production and Market Implementation of Photovoltaics (No. EUR–23604-EN). A Technical Paper of European Commission Joint Research Centre, Renewable Energy Unit. Available from https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/38615299.pdf [Accessed 13th November 2018].
- Melodi, A. and S. Famakin, 2011. Solar energy potential for domestic electricity generation in Akure, Nigeria. Journal of Emerging Trends in Engineering and Applied Sciences, 2(4): 687-692.
- NASA, 2018. Power data access viewer online free tool. An online tools for access to NASA Earth science data, USA. Available from https://power.larc.nasa.gov/data-access-viewer/ [Accessed 17th November 2018].
- Ohunakin, O.S., M.S. Adaramola, O. Oyewola and R.O. Fagbenle, 2014. Solar energy applications and development in Nigeria: Drivers and barriers. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 32(C): 294-301.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.01.014.
- Rapu, C.S., A.O. Adenuga, W.J. Kanya, M.O. Abeng, P.D. Golit, M.J. Hilili and E.R. Ochu, 2015. Analysis of energy market conditions in Nigeria. Central Bank of Nigeria Occasional Paper, No. 55.
- Saka, A.B., T.O. Olawumi and A.J. Omoboye, 2017. Solar photovoltaic system: A case study of Akure, Nigeria. World Scientific News, 83: 16-28.
- Sangroya, D. and J.K. Nayak, 2017. Factors influencing buying behaviour of green energy consumer. Journal of Cleaner Production, 151: 393-405.Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.03.010.

Views and opinions expressed in this article are the views and opinions of the author(s), International Journal of Sustainable Energy and Environmental Research shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability etc. caused in relation to/arising out of the use of the content.