



## CUBIC DUAL IDEALS IN BCK-ALGEBRAS

B. Satyanarayana<sup>†</sup> — A.A.A.Agboola<sup>2</sup> — U. Bindu Madhavi<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Krishna University P.G.Centre, Nuzvid, India.

### ABSTRACT

In this paper we introduce the concept of cubic set to dual sub algebras and dual ideals in BCK-algebras and investigate some of its properties. The relationship between dual sub algebras and cubic dual sub algebras are given.

**Keywords:** BCK-Algebra, Fuzzy ideal, Dual subalgebra, Fuzzy dual ideal, Cubic dual subalgebra, Cubic dual ideal.

Received: 20 January 2016/ Revised: 30 March 2016/ Accepted: 4 April 2016/ Published: 8 April 2016

### Contribution/ Originality

The primary contribution of this paper is application of cubic set to dual ideals in BCK-algebras and investigates some of its properties. This study originates new definition cubic dual ideal in BCK-algebras.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy set was introduced in 1965 by Zadeh [1] and since then, several researchers have explored the generalization of the notion of fuzzy sets. The study of BCK-algebras was initiated by Imai and Iseki [2] in 1966 as a generalization of the concept of set-theoretic difference and propositional calculus. The notion of interval-valued fuzzy sets was first introduced by Zadeh [1] as an extension of fuzzy sets. Moreover, Jun, et al. [3] introduced the notion of cubic sets as a generalization of fuzzy set and interval-valued fuzzy set. In Satyanarayana, et al. [4]. Applied the concept of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy dual ideals of BF-algebras. In this paper we apply the concept of cubic set to dual ideals in BCK-algebras and investigate some of its properties.

A BCK-algebra is a non-empty set  $X$  with a binary operation  $*$  and a constant  $0$  satisfying the following axioms:

$$(BCK-1) ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0,$$

$$(BCK-2) (x * (x * y)) * y = 0,$$

$$(BCK-3) x * x = 0,$$

$$(BCK-4) 0 * x = 0,$$

$$(BCK-5) x * y = 0 \text{ and } y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X$$

A BCK-algebra can be partially ordered by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$  this ordering is called BCK-ordering. The following statements are true in a BCK-algebra:

(i)  $x * 0 = x,$

- (ii)  $x * y \leq x$ ,
- (iii)  $(x * y) * z \leq (x * z) * y$ ,
- (iv)  $(x * y) * z \leq (x * z) * (y * z)$ ,
- (v)  $x \leq y \Rightarrow x * z \leq y * z$  and  $z * y \leq z * x$ .

**Definition 1.1** Meng [5] a non-empty subset  $I$  of a BCK-algebra  $X$  is called an ideal, if it satisfies:

- (I<sub>1</sub>)  $0 \in I$
- (I<sub>2</sub>)  $x * y$  and  $y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I$ , for any  $x, y \in X$

If there is an element  $1$  of  $X$  satisfying  $x \leq 1$ , for all  $x \in X$ , then the element  $1$  is called unit of  $X$ . A BCK-algebra with unit is called bounded. In a bounded BCK-algebra, we denote  $1 * x$  by  $Nx$  for brief.

**Definition 1.2** Meng [5] a non-empty set  $D$  in a BCK-algebra  $X$  is said to be dual ideal of  $X$  if it satisfies:

- (i)  $1 \in D$ ,
- (ii)  $N(Nx * Ny) \in D$  and  $y \in D \Rightarrow x \in D$  for any  $x, y \in X$ .

Let  $X$  be the collection of objects denoted generically by  $x$ . Then the fuzzy set  $A$  in  $X$  is defined as  $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x)) : x \in X\}$  where  $\mu_A(x)$  is called the membership value of  $x$  in  $A$  and  $0 \leq \mu_A(x) \leq 1$ .

**Definition 1.3** For fuzzy sets  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  of  $X$  and  $s, t \in [0, 1]$ . The sets  $U(\mu; t) = \{x \in X : \mu(x) \geq t\}$  is called upper  $t$ -level cut of  $\mu$  and

$L(\lambda; s) = \{x \in X : \lambda(x) \leq s\}$  is called lower  $s$ -level cut of  $\lambda$ .

**Definition 1.4** A fuzzy set  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called fuzzy sub-algebra of  $X$ , if

$$\mu(x * y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\}, \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

**Definition 1.5** Meng and Jun [6] a fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of  $X$  is said to be fuzzy dual ideal of  $X$ , if

- (i)  $\mu(1) \geq \mu(x)$
- (ii)  $\mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(N(Nx * Ny)), \mu(y)\}$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 1.6** Meng and Jun [6] the fuzzy set  $\mu$  in  $X$  is called fuzzy dual sub algebra of  $X$  if it satisfies

$$\mu(N(Nx * Ny) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Now we recall the concept of interval-valued fuzzy sets:

By the interval number  $D$  we mean an interval  $[a^-, a^+]$  where  $0 \leq a^- \leq a^+ \leq 1$

For interval numbers  $D_1 = [a_1^-, b_1^+]$ ,  $D_2 = [a_2^-, b_2^+]$ .

We define

$$\text{Min}(D_1, D_2) = D_1 \cap D_2 = \min([a_1^-, b_1^+], [a_2^-, b_2^+]) = \min[\{a_1^-, a_2^-\}, \{b_1^+, b_2^+\}]$$

$$\text{Max}(D_1, D_2) = D_1 \cup D_2 = \max([a_1^-, b_1^+], [a_2^-, b_2^+]) = \max[\{a_1^-, a_2^-\}, \{b_1^+, b_2^+\}]$$

$$D_1 + D_2 = [a_1^- + a_2^- - a_1^- \cdot a_2^-, b_1^+ + b_2^+ - b_1^+ \cdot b_2^+]$$

And put

$$D_1 \leq D_2 \Leftrightarrow a_1^- \leq a_2^- \text{ and } b_1^+ \leq b_2^+$$

$$D_1 = D_2 \Leftrightarrow a_1^- = a_2^- \text{ and } b_1^+ = b_2^+$$

$$D_1 < D_2 \Leftrightarrow D_1 \leq D_2 \text{ and } D_1 \neq D_2$$

$$mD = m[a_1^-, b_1^+] = [ma_1^-, mb_1^+], \text{ where } 0 \leq m \leq 1.$$

Let  $X$  be a given nonempty set. An interval-valued fuzzy set (briefly, i-v fuzzy set)  $B$  on  $X$  is defined by

$$B = \{(x, [\mu_B^-(x), \mu_B^+(x)]) : x \in X\},$$

Where  $\mu_B^-(x)$  and  $\mu_B^+(x)$  are fuzzy sets of  $X$  such that  $\mu_B^-(x) \leq \mu_B^+(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $\tilde{\mu}_B(x)$

$$= [\mu_B^-(x), \mu_B^+(x)], \text{ then } B = \{(x, \tilde{\mu}_B(x)) : x \in X\}, \text{ Where } \tilde{\mu}_B : X \rightarrow D[0, 1].$$

The determination of maximum and minimum between two real numbers is very simple but it is not simple for two intervals. Biswas [7] described a method to find max/sup and min/inf between two intervals or set of intervals.

**Definition 1.7** Biswas [7] consider two set of intervals  $D_1, D_2 \in D[0, 1]$ . If  $D_1 = [a_1^-, a_1^+]$  then

$$\text{rmin}(D_1, D_2) = [\min(a_1^-, a_2^-), \min(a_1^+, a_2^+)] \text{ which is denoted by } D_1 \wedge^r D_2. \text{ Thus if } D_i = [a_i^-, a_i^+] \in D[0, 1]$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  then we define  $\text{r sup}_i(D_i) = [\text{sup}_i(a_i^-), \text{sup}_i(a_i^+)]$  that is  $\vee_i^r D_i = [\vee_i a_i^-, \vee_i a_i^+]$ . Now we

call  $D_1 \geq D_2$  iff  $a_1^- \geq a_2^-$  and  $a_1^+ \geq a_2^+$ . Similarly the relations  $D_1 \leq D_2$  and  $D_1 = D_2$  are defined.

Based on (interval-valued) fuzzy sets, Jun et.al.introduced the notion of (internal, external) cubic sets and investigated several properties.

**Definition 1.8** Jun, et al. [3] let  $X$  be a non-empty set. A cubic set  $A$  in  $X$  is a structure which is briefly denoted by  $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, \lambda_A)$  where  $\tilde{\mu}_A = [\mu_A^-, \mu_A^+]$  is an interval-valued fuzzy set in  $X$  and  $\lambda_A$  is fuzzy set in  $X$ .

**Definition 1.9** Jun, et al. [8] a cubic set  $A = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \lambda_A)$  in  $X$  is a cubic fuzzy ideal (C F-ideal) of  $X$ , if it satisfies:

$$(C F1) \tilde{\mu}_A(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \text{ and } \lambda_A(0) \leq \lambda_A(x)$$

$$(C F_2) \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x * y), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\}$$

$$(C F_3) \lambda_A(x) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(x * y), \lambda_A(y)\}, \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

## 2. CUBIC DUAL-IDEALS OF BCK-ALGEBRAS

Let  $X$  denotes a BCK-algebra unless otherwise specified. Combined the definitions of fuzzy dual-ideal over a crisp set and the idea of cubic set we define cubic dual-ideal. After that, we give some important consequences of cubic dual sub algebras and cubic dual ideals in BCK-algebras.

**Definition 2.1** A cubic fuzzy set  $A = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \lambda_A)$  is called cubic fuzzy dual sub algebra of  $X$  if:

$$(i) \tilde{\mu}_A(N(Nx * Ny)) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\}$$

$$(ii) \lambda_A(N(Nx * Ny)) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(y)\} \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

**Proposition 2.2** Every cubic fuzzy dual sub algebra  $A = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \lambda_A)$  of  $X$  satisfies the inequalities

$$\tilde{\mu}_A(1) \geq \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \text{ and } \lambda_A(1) \leq \lambda_A(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

**Theorem 2.3** If  $A = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \lambda_A)$  is cubic fuzzy dual sub algebra of  $X$  then the sets

$$X_{\tilde{\mu}_A} = \{x \in X / \tilde{\mu}_A(x) = \tilde{\mu}_A(1)\} \text{ and } X_{\lambda_A} = \{x \in X / \lambda_A(x) = \lambda_A(1)\} \text{ are dual sub algebras of } X.$$

**Proof:** Let  $x, y \in X_{\tilde{\mu}_A}$ . Then  $\tilde{\mu}_A(x) = \tilde{\mu}_A(1) = \tilde{\mu}_A(y)$  and so

$$\tilde{\mu}_A(N(Nx * Ny)) \geq \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\} = \text{rmin}\{\tilde{\mu}_A(1), \tilde{\mu}_A(1)\} = \tilde{\mu}_A(1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_A(N(Nx * Ny)) \geq \tilde{\mu}_A(1) \text{ but } \tilde{\mu}_A(N(Nx * Ny)) \leq \tilde{\mu}_A(1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\mu}_A(N(Nx * Ny)) = \tilde{\mu}_A(1) \Rightarrow N(Nx * Ny) \in X_{\tilde{\mu}_A}.$$

Therefore for all  $x, y \in X_{\tilde{\mu}_A} \Rightarrow N(Nx * Ny) \in X_{\tilde{\mu}_A}$ .

Let  $x, y \in X_{\lambda_A}$ . then  $\lambda_A(x) = \lambda_A(1) = \lambda_A(y)$  and so

$$\lambda_A(N(Nx * Ny)) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(y)\} = \max\{\lambda_A(1), \lambda_A(1)\} = \lambda_A(1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_A(N(Nx * Ny)) \leq \lambda_A(1) \text{ but } \lambda_A(N(Nx * Ny)) \geq \lambda_A(1) \Rightarrow \lambda_A(N(Nx * Ny)) = \lambda_A(1)$$

$$\Rightarrow N(Nx * Ny) \in X_{\lambda_A}.$$

Therefore,  $X_{\tilde{\mu}_A}$  and  $X_{\lambda_A}$  are dual subalgebras of  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.4** Let  $A=(X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \lambda_A)$  is cubic fuzzy dual subalgebra of X.

- (i) If there exists  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\mu}_A(x_n) = [1, 1]$  then  $\tilde{\mu}_A(1) = [1, 1]$ .
- (ii) If there exists  $\{x_n\}$  in X such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_A(x_n) = 0$  then  $\lambda_A(1) = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.5** Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be cubic fuzzy dual BCK-sub algebras of X. Then  $A_1 \cap A_2$  is cubic fuzzy dual BCK-sub algebra of X.

**Proof:** Let  $x, y \in A_1 \cap A_2$  then  $x, y \in A_1$  and  $x, y \in A_2$ . Since  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are cubic fuzzy dual BCK-sub algebras of X, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\mu}_{A_1 \cap A_2}(N(Nx * Ny)) \\ &= [\mu_{A_1 \cap A_2}^-(N(Nx * Ny)), \mu_{A_1 \cap A_2}^+(N(Nx * Ny))] \\ &= [\min\{\mu_{A_1}^-(N(Nx * Ny)), \mu_{A_2}^-(N(Nx * Ny))\}, \min\{\mu_{A_1}^+(N(Nx * Ny)), \mu_{A_2}^+(N(Nx * Ny))\}] \\ &\geq [\min\{\min\{\mu_{A_1}^-(x), \mu_{A_1}^-(y)\}, \min\{\mu_{A_2}^-(x), \mu_{A_2}^-(y)\}\}, \min\{\min\{\mu_{A_1}^+(x), \mu_{A_1}^+(y)\}, \min\{\mu_{A_2}^+(x), \mu_{A_2}^+(y)\}\}] \\ &\geq [\min\{\min\{\mu_{A_1}^-(x), \mu_{A_1}^-(y)\}, \min\{\mu_{A_2}^-(x), \mu_{A_2}^-(y)\}\}, \min\{\min\{\mu_{A_1}^+(x), \mu_{A_1}^+(y)\}, \min\{\mu_{A_2}^+(x), \mu_{A_2}^+(y)\}\}] \\ &= [\min\{\min\{\mu_{A_1}^-(x), \mu_{A_2}^-(x)\}, \min\{\mu_{A_1}^-(y), \mu_{A_2}^-(y)\}\}, \min\{\min\{\mu_{A_1}^+(x), \mu_{A_2}^+(x)\}, \min\{\mu_{A_1}^+(y), \mu_{A_2}^+(y)\}\}] \\ &= [\min\{\min\{\mu_{A_1}^-(x), \mu_{A_2}^-(x)\}, \min\{\mu_{A_1}^-(y), \mu_{A_2}^-(y)\}\}, \min\{\min\{\mu_{A_1}^+(x), \mu_{A_2}^+(x)\}, \min\{\mu_{A_1}^+(y), \mu_{A_2}^+(y)\}\}] \\ &= [\min\{\mu_{A_1 \cap A_2}^-(x), \mu_{A_1 \cap A_2}^-(y)\}, \min\{\mu_{A_1 \cap A_2}^+(x), \mu_{A_1 \cap A_2}^+(y)\}] \\ &= r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_{A_1 \cap A_2}(x), \tilde{\mu}_{A_1 \cap A_2}(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\tilde{\mu}_{A_1 \cap A_2}(N(Nx * Ny)) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_{A_1 \cap A_2}(x), \tilde{\mu}_{A_1 \cap A_2}(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{A_1 \cap A_2}(N(Nx * Ny)) &= \max\{\lambda_{A_1}(N(Nx * Ny)), \lambda_{A_2}(N(Nx * Ny))\} \\ &\leq \max\{\max\{\lambda_{A_1}(x), \lambda_{A_1}(y)\}, \max\{\lambda_{A_2}(x), \lambda_{A_2}(y)\}\} \\ &= \max\{\max\{\lambda_{A_1}(x), \lambda_{A_2}(x)\}, \max\{\lambda_{A_1}(y), \lambda_{A_2}(y)\}\} \\ &= \max\{\lambda_{A_1 \cap A_2}(x), \lambda_{A_1 \cap A_2}(y)\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\lambda_{A_1 \cap A_2}(N(Nx * Ny)) \leq \max \{\lambda_{A_1 \cap A_2}(x), \lambda_{A_1 \cap A_2}(y)\}$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Hence  $A_1 \cap A_2$  is cubic fuzzy dual BCK-sub algebra of  $X$ .

**Corollary 2.6** Let  $\{A_i / i \in N\}$  be a family of cubic fuzzy dual BCK-subalgebra of  $X$ . Then  $\bigcap_{i \in N} A_i$  is also a cubic fuzzy dual BCK-sub algebra of  $X$ .

**Definition 2.7** A cubic fuzzy set  $A = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \lambda_A)$  is called cubic dual ideal of BCK-algebra  $X$  if it satisfies the following inequalities:

$$(C\text{ FD}1) \quad \tilde{\mu}_A(1) \geq \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \text{ and } \lambda_A(1) \leq \lambda_A(x)$$

$$(C\text{ FD}2) \quad \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(N(Nx * Ny)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \}$$

$$(C\text{ FD}3) \quad \lambda_A(x) \leq \max \{ \lambda_A(N(Nx * Ny)), \lambda_A(y) \}, \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

**Example 2.8** Let  $X = \{0, x, y, z\}$  be a BCK-algebra with the following Cayley table

*	0	x	y	z
0	0	0	0	0
x	x	0	0	0
y	y	x	0	0
z	z	y	x	0

We define a cubic set  $A = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \lambda_A)$  by  $\tilde{\mu}_A(0) = \tilde{\mu}_A(x) = [0.6, 0.7]$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}_A(y) = \tilde{\mu}_A(z) = [0.2, 0.3]$ ,

$$\lambda_A(0) = 0.1, \lambda_A(x) = 0.3, \text{ and } \lambda_A(y) = \lambda_A(z) = 0.4.$$

By routine calculations we know that  $A = (X, \tilde{\mu}_A, \lambda_A)$  is a cubic dual -ideal of  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.9:** If a cubic set in  $X$  is cubic dual sub algebra, then  $\tilde{\mu}_A(N(N0 * Nx)) \geq \tilde{\mu}_A(x)$  and

$$\lambda_A(N(N0 * Nx)) \leq \lambda_A(x) \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

**Proof:** For all  $x \in X$ , we have

$$(i) \quad \tilde{\mu}_A(N(Nx * Ny)) \geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(y) \}$$

$$(ii) \quad \lambda_A(N(Nx * Ny)) \leq \max \{ \lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(y) \}$$

Put  $x = 0, y = x$  in (i) we get

$$\tilde{\mu}_A(N(N0 * Nx)) \geq \text{rmin} \{ \tilde{\mu}_A(0), \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x * x), \tilde{\mu}_A(x)\} \\
 &= r \min\{r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(x)\}, \tilde{\mu}_A(x)\} \\
 &= r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_A(x), \tilde{\mu}_A(x)\} \\
 &= \tilde{\mu}_A(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, put  $x = 0, y = x$  in (ii) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda_A(N(N0 * Nx)) &\leq \max\{\lambda_A(0), \lambda_A(x)\} \\
 &= \max\{\lambda_A(x * x), \lambda_A(x)\} \\
 &= \max\{\max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(x)\}, \lambda_A(x)\} \\
 &= \max\{\lambda_A(x), \lambda_A(x)\} \\
 &= \lambda_A(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.10** A cubic set  $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, \lambda_A)$  in  $X$  is a cubic dual-ideal of  $X$  if and only if  $\mu_A^-, \mu_A^+$  and  $\lambda_A$  are fuzzy dual ideals of  $X$ .

**Proof:** Let  $\mu_A^-, \mu_A^+$  and  $\lambda_A$  are fuzzy dual ideals of  $X$  and  $x, y \in X$ . Then by definition

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_A^-(1) &\geq \mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(1) \geq \mu_A^+(x), \\
 \mu_A^-(x) &\geq \min\{\mu_A^-(N(Nx * Ny)), \mu_A^-(y)\}, \\
 \mu_A^+(x) &\geq \min\{\mu_A^+(N(Nx * Ny)), \mu_A^+(y)\}, \\
 \lambda_A(x) &\leq \max\{\lambda_A(N(Nx * Ny)), \lambda_A(y)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now } \tilde{\mu}_A(x) &= [\mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)] \\
 &\geq [\min\{\mu_A^-(N(Nx * Ny)), \mu_A^-(y)\}, \min\{\mu_A^+(N(Nx * Ny)), \mu_A^+(y)\}] \\
 &= r \min\{[\mu_A^-(N(Nx * Ny)), \mu_A^+(N(Nx * Ny))], [\mu_A^-(y), \mu_A^+(y)]\} \\
 &= r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_A(N(Nx * Ny)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $A$  is cubic dual-ideal of  $X$ .

Conversely assume that  $A$  is cubic dual-ideal of  $X$ .

For any  $x, y \in X$

$$[\mu_A^-(x), \mu_A^+(x)] = \tilde{\mu}_A(x) \geq r \min\{\tilde{\mu}_A(N(Nx * Ny)), \tilde{\mu}_A(y)\}$$

$$= \min\{[\mu_A^-(N(Nx * Ny)), \mu_A^+(N(Nx * Ny))], [\mu_A^-(y), \mu_A^+(y)]\}$$

$$= [\min\{\mu_A^-(N(Nx * Ny)), \mu_A^-(y)\}, \min\{\mu_A^+(N(Nx * Ny)), \mu_A^+(y)\}]$$

Thus

$$\mu_A^-(x) \geq \min\{\mu_A^-(N(Nx * Ny)), \mu_A^-(y)\}$$

$$\mu_A^+(x) \geq \min\{\mu_A^+(N(Nx * Ny)), \mu_A^+(y)\}$$

$$\lambda_A(x) \leq \max\{\lambda_A(N(Nx * Ny)), \lambda_A(y)\}$$

Hence  $\mu_A^-, \mu_A^+$  and  $\lambda_A$  are fuzzy dual-ideals of X.

**Theorem 2.11** If  $A = (\tilde{\mu}_A, \tilde{\lambda}_A)$  is a cubic dual-ideal of X, then non-empty upper  $\tilde{S}$ -level cut  $U(\tilde{\mu}_A, \tilde{S})$  and the non-empty

lower t-level cut  $L(\lambda_A, t)$  are dual closed ideals of X for every  $\tilde{S} \in D[0, 1]$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Funding: This study received no specific financial support.

Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

Contributors/Acknowledgement: All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. A. Zadeh, "The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning," *I, Information Sci. and Control*, vol. 8, pp. 199-249, 1975.
- [2] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, "On axioms systems of proposition calculi XIV," *Proc. Japan Acad.*, vol. 42, pp. 19-22, 1966.
- [3] Y. B. Jun, C. S. Kim, and K. O. Yang, "Cubic sets," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 4, pp. 83-98, 2012.
- [4] B. Satyanarayana, D. Ramesh, and P. R. Durga, "On interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy dual ideals of BF-algebras," *Annals of the Constantin Brancusi University of Targu Jiu. Eng. Series*, vol. 3, pp. 116-126, 2011.
- [5] J. Meng, "Some results on dual ideals in BCK-algebras," *J. Northwest, Univ.*, vol. 16, pp. 12-16, 1986.
- [6] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, "Fuzzy dual ideals in BCK-algebras," *Comm. Korean Math. Soc.*, vol. 8, pp. 225-231, 1993.
- [7] R. Biswas, "Rosenfeld's fuzzy subgroups with interval-valued membership function," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 63, pp. 87-90, 1994.
- [8] Y. B. Jun, C. S. Kim, and J. G. Kang, "Cubic q-ideals of BCI algebras," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 1, pp. 25-34, 2011.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] K. T. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets," *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 20, pp. 87-96, 1986.
- [2] K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, "An introduction to the theory of BCK-algebras," *Math. Japan*, vol. 23, pp. 1-26, 1978.
- [3] Y. B. Jun, S. T. Jung, and M. S. Kim, "Cubic subgroups," *Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 2, pp. 9-15, 2011.
- [4] L. A. Zadeh, "Fuzzy sets," *Information Control*, vol. 8, pp. 338-353, 1965.

*Views and opinions expressed in this article are the views and opinions of the author(s), International Journal of Mathematical Research shall not be responsible or answerable for any loss, damage or liability etc. caused in relation to/arising out of the use of the content.*