Current Research in Agricultural Sciences
2026 Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 1-20
ISSN(e): 2312-6418
ISSN(p): 2313-3716
DOI: 10.18488/cras.v13i2.5015

The climate-driven evolution of farm machinery technologies: Resilience, precision, and policy in global and Indian agriculture

Ayan Paul[1+]
Pooja Verma[2]
Rajendra Machavaram[3]

[1,3]*Agricultural and Food Engineering Department, IIT Kharagpur, India.*
[1]*Email: ayanpaul2210@kgpian.iitkgp.ac.in*
[3]*Email: rajendra@agfe.iitkgp.ac.in*
[2]*Advanced Technology Development Centre, IIT Kharagpur, India.*
[2]*Email: poojaverma899@gmail.com*

(+ Corresponding author)

Article History
Received: 17 December 2025
Revised: 20 May 2026
Accepted: 15 June 2026
Published: 19 June 2026

Keywords
Autonomous machinery
Climate-Smart agriculture
Custom hiring centers
Institutional frameworks
Precision agriculture
Smallholder mechanization.

ABSTRACT

The study examines the critical role of climate change in reshaping agricultural mechanization, emphasizing the adoption of Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA) technologies to enhance operational resilience, optimize resource use, and mitigate sectoral emissions. It particularly focuses on smallholder-dominated regions, such as India, where institutional frameworks are central to technology adoption. A comprehensive review and synthesis of global and Indian literature on climate impacts, mechanization trends, and institutional models was conducted. The analysis integrates environmental stressors, thermal extremes, hydrological volatility, and reduced operational windows, with the technological evolution of CSA, including Artificial Intelligence (AI), Internet of Things (IoT), and autonomous machinery. Socioeconomic constraints, landholding fragmentation, and collective access mechanisms, such as Custom Hiring Centers (CHCs) and Farmer-Producer Organizations (FPOs), were examined to evaluate adoption feasibility. Climate change imposes both operational and structural challenges that conventional machinery cannot meet. Advanced CSA technologies, leveraging data-driven precision and autonomous capabilities, are essential for maintaining timeliness and efficiency under extreme conditions. In India, the smallholder landscape necessitates institutionalized collective access models, which effectively bridge the gap between high-cost climate-smart equipment and limited farmer resources. Integrated policy support, innovative financing, and local technical capacity building are identified as critical enablers for successful adoption. The findings underscore the need for coordinated strategies combining technology, institutional innovation, and capacity development to achieve scalable climate adaptation in agriculture. Policymakers, researchers, and industry stakeholders must prioritize multifunctional, resource-efficient machinery alongside sustainable institutional frameworks to ensure resilience in smallholder-dominated systems.

Contribution/Originality: This study contributes to the existing literature by integrating climate change impacts with technological and institutional adaptations in smallholder agriculture. It is one of the few studies investigating the combined role of Climate-Smart Agriculture technologies, autonomous machinery, and collective access models in enhancing resilience and resource efficiency.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Climate Change as a Driver of Agricultural Mechanization Evolution

Agriculture is still one of the most climate-sensitive industries in the world. It supports food security, nutritional stability, and rural livelihoods, but it is also very vulnerable to the effects of climate change getting worse [1]. Today's climate is changing in many ways, including higher average temperatures, more frequent and severe heat and cold events, and less reliable water availability, which can be seen in erratic rainfall patterns, flooding, and long periods of drought [2]. These changes in the weather make it much harder to follow traditional planting and harvesting schedules, shorten the best times to plant and harvest, and raise the risk of crop losses. This means that agricultural systems need to be more efficient and make better decisions [3].

In this changing risk environment, mechanization in agriculture has become an important way for farmers to adapt, allowing them to keep working even when conditions are getting harder and less predictable [4]. Mechanization, which ranges from simple hand tools to advanced motorized and automated machines, makes field work faster, more accurate, and timelier while making it less reliant on manual labor [4]. Mechanized interventions help make better use of resources and make farms more resilient to climate-related production shocks by allowing for precise land preparation, optimized sowing, efficient water and nutrient application, and quick harvesting [4]. The idea of sustainable mechanization goes beyond just using new technology. It also includes economic viability, environmental stewardship, social inclusivity, and cultural appropriateness. This means that mechanization pathways should be in line with the agricultural sector's larger goals for sustainability and development [4].

Empirical evidence emphasizes the necessity of these adaptive transformations. Climate models say that rice yields in Asia could drop by as much as 50% by the end of the twenty-first century if technology doesn't improve. Wheat and maize production in South Asia could also drop by about 30% over the same time period [2]. These projected declines underscore the structural fragility of agrarian economies, especially in climate-sensitive areas like India, where systems dominated by smallholders encounter heightened risks due to climate stress and labor shortages. As a result, the development of farm machinery technologies is no longer a choice; it is now a necessary adaptation strategy. This analysis shows that the direction of agricultural mechanization is being shaped more and more by the need for better timeliness, operational accuracy, and mechanical strength to deal with climate change that is happening more and more in both global and regional farming systems.

1.2. Scope and Structure of the Review Paper

This review paper systematically analyzes the impact of critical climate variables, particularly increasing temperatures and modified hydrological patterns, on the development of agricultural machinery design, adoption, and operational efficacy. The focus is on figuring out how stressors caused by climate change affect engineering needs like thermal tolerance, energy efficiency, soil-machine interaction, and operational reliability in different field conditions. The review encompasses not only technological design aspects but also adoption dynamics, assessing the impact of climate variability on machinery usage patterns, service lifecycles, and economic viability across various agroecological systems.

The analysis incorporates global advancements in mechanization, such as precision agriculture, sensor-equipped machinery, automation, and data-driven decision-support systems, while critically juxtaposing these innovations with the structural realities of India's predominantly smallholder-based agricultural economy. There is a lot of focus on the limitations that come from things like farm size fragmentation, labor availability, capital access, energy infrastructure, and policy support mechanisms. All of these things affect how scalable and effective climate-responsive mechanization strategies are. The review emphasizes the distinct trajectories of mechanization in developed and developing agricultural systems by contextualizing technological innovation within socioeconomic and institutional frameworks.

The paper is structured to lead the reader through a logical progression from defining the problem to evaluating the solution. It starts with a thorough summary of the climate stressors that are currently affecting and will affect farming operations. Then, it looks at the engineering and design changes that need to be made to traditional farm machinery as a result. The next sections look at new technological solutions, such as climate-smart, energy-efficient, and digitally enabled mechanization methods. The review ends with an assessment of the policy, financial, and institutional frameworks needed to support the widespread use and growth of climate-smart mechanization. It focuses on how to make the Indian agricultural sector more sustainable and inclusive.

2. GLOBAL CLIMATE STRESSORS AND AGRICULTURAL VULNERABILITY

2.1. Altered Hydrology and Soil Dynamics (Drought and Flood Extremes)

Climate change causes big changes in hydrological regimes, which creates two problems for soil management systems that seem to be opposite but are happening at the same time: long periods of water shortage and short periods of too much water [5]. These extreme hydrological conditions have a big effect on the physical properties of soil, like moisture content, bulk density, shear strength, and load-bearing capacity. These properties then directly affect how well agricultural machinery works in different cropping systems. As climate variability increases, the ability of farm equipment to work reliably in changing soil moisture conditions has become a key factor in getting work done on time in the field.

2.1.1. Impact of Water Scarcity and Drought

Long periods of dry weather and less rain can mess up many parts of the agricultural production cycle, such as seed germination, early crop establishment, and vegetative growth. At the same time, they make pest and disease problems worse [5]. High temperatures in the air make water shortages worse by speeding up the loss of water through evapotranspiration from both soil and crop canopies. This increases the need for irrigation and puts more stress on surface and groundwater resources that are already low [6]. In India, this vulnerability is especially severe because almost two-thirds of the country's farmland relies on rainwater and is therefore very susceptible to drought and changes in rainfall from year to year [1]. In these situations, soils tend to harden and become more resistant to penetration. This makes traditional tillage and seeding equipment less effective and requires more energy, fuel, and wear on machines.

2.1.2. Impact of Excess Water and Flooding

On the other hand, more and more heavy rainstorms and flooding are causing widespread waterlogging, which leads to low-oxygen root zones, nutrient leaching and runoff, and soil structure breakdown [5]. Floods can wash away fertile topsoil, leave behind sediments, and do a lot of damage to both old and new crops [5]. Seasonal flooding, especially in the spring and early monsoon seasons, is known to delay important planting and harvesting tasks. It also often forces machines to work on wet soils, which causes severe soil compaction and rut formation [3]. Changes in the amount, frequency, and intensity of rainfall, along with changes in wind patterns, also change how erosion happens, making soil degradation more widespread and severe in vulnerable landscapes [1].

These extreme weather events create a basic operational divide in agricultural systems (Figure 1). Most traditional farm equipment is designed to work best in a small range of soil moisture conditions, and it doesn't work as well when the soil is too dry or too wet. When the ground is too dry, it gets too hard for tools to dig in, which makes the draft forces stronger and the work less efficient. When the ground is too wet, it gets too heavy, loses traction, and compacts the soil permanently [3]. If the machine can't work well in either extreme, it will take longer to do field work, the quality of crop establishment will go down, and the yield could go down. Because of these problems, engineers need to change the way they design machines. They need to focus on making machines that can adapt to changing conditions, like adding adjustable downforce mechanisms for dry, compacted soils or lightweight structures or configurations that reduce ground pressure so that machines can work safely in wet field conditions.

Figure 1. Climate-driven operational divide: drought and flood-compacted soils challenge traditional machinery.

2.2. Thermal Stress Impacts on Operational Capacity and Productivity

Thermal stress is a systemic threat to the long-term health of agriculture. It has an impact on biological systems, the ability of people to work, and the efficiency of farm businesses. As temperatures rise and heatwaves become more common, they put more and more stress on crop production, livestock management, and field operations. This has a big effect on farm productivity and long-term viability.

2.2.1. Crop and Livestock Stress

High temperatures directly threaten crop productivity by causing physiological damage like sunburn, accelerated senescence, and heat stress. Under extreme conditions, these factors can cut annual yields by as much as 40% [6]. High temperatures during sensitive phenological stages, such as flowering, grain filling, and maturation, shorten the development cycles of major cereals like wheat, maize, and oats. This leads to less biomass accumulation, lower grain weight, and lower quality attributes [3]. These thermal effects also speed up respiration and lower photosynthetic efficiency, which makes the yield penalties in heat-prone agroecosystems even worse.

Livestock production systems are also susceptible to thermal stress, as increased temperatures hinder animal thermoregulation, reduce feed intake, and interfere with endocrine and reproductive functions [3]. Animals that are stressed by heat have lower fertility, slower growth rates, and lower milk yields. In dairy systems, production losses of 5 to 15 lbs of milk per cow per day have been reported [3]. These drops in productivity not only lower farm output, but they also raise operational costs for things like cooling, veterinary care, and feed waste.

Thermal stress has big and nonlinear effects on the economy. Empirical analyses of commodity-based farming systems demonstrate that net farm incomes may decrease by up to 66% for each 1°C rise in mean temperature, highlighting the direct correlation between thermal exposure and financial sustainability at the farm level [6]. This kind of income instability makes small and marginal farmers even more vulnerable, especially in areas where they can't easily get to adaptive infrastructure and mechanized support.

2.2.2. Human Operational Health and Resource Management

Thermal stress also has a big impact on the people who work on farms, which are still a big part of farming, especially in systems that need a lot of workers. High temperatures and high humidity levels together greatly raise the risk of heat-related illnesses, make people less alert, make them more tired physically, and make accidents more likely to happen at work during field operations [7]. These conditions effectively limit the length and intensity of manual labor, making it harder to do tasks that need to be done quickly and making safe operational windows smaller.

Because of these limits, it is becoming more and more important to use mechanized and semi-automated systems to keep operations running smoothly and on time. Mechanization protects people from extreme heat and makes it possible for workers to stay productive even when they have less time to work. Also, higher temperatures change how resources are managed by speeding up the lignification of plant tissues, which makes fodder for livestock less digestible and less nutritious [1]. This drop in feed quality makes productivity losses due to heat even worse. This shows how important it is to have integrated mechanized solutions that protect both crop and livestock systems from rising thermal stress.

2.3. Secondary and Systemic Effects

Climate change stressors cause broad secondary and systemic changes throughout the agricultural value chain, affecting decisions about production, resource allocation, and long-term investment strategies. Changes in temperature, rainfall, and the frequency of extreme weather events affect not only crop yields but also the best times and places to grow crops. This forces farmers to change when and where they plant, as well as how they grow crops. These changes require "significant changes in management skills, field equipment, farm infrastructure, and/or marketing needs," which shows how complex climate change adaptation is in agriculture [8].

Adaptation strategies designed to bolster system resilience, such as the creation and implementation of drought-resistant and stress-tolerant crop varieties, the incorporation of livestock into crop-based production systems, and the diversification of crop rotations, are increasingly acknowledged as vital elements of climate-resilient agriculture [8]. However, these practices can only be used effectively if you have access to specialized management knowledge and mechanized solutions that are set up correctly. For example, diversified cropping systems often need planting and harvesting equipment that can handle more than one type of crop. Integrated crop–livestock systems, on the other hand, need machinery that can handle residue management, fodder processing, and manure application [8]. Because of this, climate-driven adaptation measures directly change the demand for machinery, which affects both the design of the equipment and how complicated it is to use.

Climate change adds more stress to coastal agricultural areas, especially in India. As the sea level rises, it speeds up coastal erosion and lets saltwater into freshwater aquifers and surface water bodies. This causes soil salinization and pollution of important irrigation resources [1]. These processes gradually make agricultural land less suitable for traditional farming methods, which forces changes to salt-tolerant crops, new irrigation methods, or different ways of using land. These kinds of changes make the need for region-specific mechanization strategies and infrastructure changes even stronger. This supports the idea that climate change has effects that go beyond short-term stressors in the field and fundamentally change agricultural systems and their mechanization needs.

3. ENGINEERING RESILIENCE: CLIMATE-INDUCED CHALLENGES FOR CONVENTIONAL MACHINERY

The growing strength and unpredictability of climate stressors make it harder for traditional agricultural machinery to be resilient. Equipment that was once made to work in stable and predictable weather conditions now has to work in a wider range of soil, moisture, and temperature extremes. This difference between what designers thought would happen and what actually happened in the field has made operations less efficient, caused more downtime, and sped up mechanical wear, all of which have hurt productivity and profits. So, engineering resilience the ability of machinery systems to keep working, reliable, and performing well even when the weather is bad has become an important factor in the redesign and adaptation of farm equipment.

3.1. Operational Downtime and Efficiency Losses

Climate change makes it much harder to find the right times to do time-sensitive farming tasks like tilling, planting, and harvesting. More variable rainfall, unplanned dry spells, and sudden extreme weather events make it harder to work in the fields, which means that timing is the most important factor in determining crop establishment and yield outcomes [9]. In these limited circumstances, traditional machinery, usually designed for specific soil moisture and surface conditions, frequently does not function properly beyond optimal limits. The delays in field operations can lead to lower yields, lower crop quality, and big financial losses for farmers [9].

Heavy machinery has to work on fields that aren't ideal, like wet or partially saturated soils, which makes the problem worse by compacting the soil [3]. High axle loads and ground pressure make the soil less porous, which keeps water from getting in and roots from growing. This makes the soil less productive and less resilient [5]. This degradation lasts for more than one season, making the land more vulnerable to future droughts or floods and making long-term yield instability worse. Consequently, climate-induced operational constraints create a negative feedback loop where initial climatic stress causes soil structural failure. This means that in later production cycles, more intense or specialized mechanized interventions are needed to restore or make up for lost soil function.

To solve these problems that are all connected, we need to systematically redesign traditional types of machinery, such as tools for planting, seeding, irrigation, crop protection, and harvesting, so that they work with changing weather conditions [10]. Engineers need to change their priorities to focus on making things more adaptable, lowering the amount of soil that needs to be loaded, increasing operational flexibility, and making sure that things work well in different temperatures and moisture levels. These kinds of changes are necessary not only to reduce downtime and efficiency losses, but also to help agriculture stay productive in a changing climate. Table 1 summarizes the key climate stressors and their implications for machinery design.

Table 1. Key climate stressors and resultant machinery design demands.

Climate stressor	Agricultural impact	Machinery requirement/Adaptation
Extreme heat/Heatwaves	Reduced engine power, increased evaporation, and heat stress on workers [6]	Enhanced cooling systems, heat-resistant components, rapid operation capability, and operator protection
Drought/Low soil moisture	Delayed germination, difficulty in seed penetration, and moisture loss [11]	No-till/zero-tillage drills, adjustable downforce mechanisms, specialized hoe/disk openers [12]
Heavy rainfall/Flooding	Soil compaction, root suffocation, erosion, and planting delays [3]	Reduced weight/smaller autonomous vehicles (Figure 2), high-flotation tires, real-time sensing for avoiding wet patches [13]
Unpredictable seasons	Compressed planting/harvest windows, yield uncertainty	High-capacity, automated, and autonomous machinery for timely operations (24/7 capability) [14]

Figure 2. Smaller, lightweight autonomous electric platforms address soil compaction and labor shortages with 24/7 operation.

3.2. Adaptations in Tillage and Seeding Technology for Variable Soil Moisture

Managing soil well when the moisture levels change a lot has become a key part of climate-resilient farming systems. In dryland farming areas, especially those that get less than 500 mm of rain a year, the timing and method of tillage are very important for keeping soil moisture, keeping weeds from growing, and keeping the quality of the seedbed [15]. In these situations, traditional tillage methods can speed up the loss of soil moisture even though they make it easier to plant seeds and manage leftover material. Empirical studies demonstrate that a singular standard tillage pass may lead to the depletion of approximately one-quarter inch of soil moisture, attributed to heightened soil exposure and augmented evaporative fluxes [11]. These moisture losses are especially bad in places where water is hard to come by, since the success of planting crops depends directly on how much water is available in the soil early in the season.

Because of these limits, there has been a clear shift in engineering and agriculture toward conservation tillage systems. No-till and reduced-tillage methods have become the most popular climate-adaptive strategies [11]. These systems lower evaporative losses, improve rainfall infiltration, keep soil macroporosity, and limit surface runoff by reducing soil disturbance. All of these things together make more water available to crops [11]. Conservation tillage also helps the soil hold onto more organic matter and improves its structure, which makes it more resistant to drought stress over time.

From an engineering point of view, tillage and seeding equipment needs to be built to work well in a wide range of soil moisture levels. When the soil is dry, planting operations need special machines that can push down hard, compacted surfaces and make sure that seeds are placed at the right depth so that they can get to residual soil moisture without being buried too deeply [12]. To avoid uneven emergence and stand establishment failures when there isn't enough moisture, it's important to be precise with depth control.

Modern no-till drill designs have advanced opener configurations that help solve these problems. Double-disk openers, which are often set up with small offsets, are very common because they can cut through surface residues and make narrow, even seed furrows [12]. Some designs combine coulter and double-disk assemblies that do a "fluff-and-plant" function, which loosens the seed zone right away while causing less disturbance to the soil as a whole [12]. In dry and semi-dry areas, hoe openers are becoming more popular because they don't need as much downforce and can move soil sideways, which makes it easier to plant seeds in deeper, moisture-retaining layers [12].

In addition to these changes to seeding, machines made to hold onto residue and mulch are an important part of strategies for keeping moisture. Equipment that keeps or strategically adds crop residues to the soil surface reduces direct sunlight, stops evaporation, keeps the soil temperature stable, and protects against wind erosion [15]. This kind of machinery, which can mulch, is an important part of dryland farming systems. It supports the larger shift toward tillage and seeding technologies that are better for the environment and can handle climate change.

3.3. Thermal Management in Heavy Equipment

The sustained global increase in ambient temperatures and the growing frequency of heatwave events necessitate substantial advancements in the thermal management systems of high-horsepower agricultural machinery, particularly tractors and self-propelled harvesting equipment. Elevated operating temperatures adversely affect engine performance by reducing air density, impairing combustion efficiency, and increasing thermal loads on critical components. As a result, tractors operating under high-temperature conditions often experience reductions in effective power output, increased mechanical stress, and accelerated component wear, collectively diminishing operational productivity and fuel-use efficiency [16].

Effective mitigation of equipment overheating under extreme thermal conditions requires an integrated approach combining robust engineering design and disciplined operational maintenance. From a design standpoint, modern agricultural machinery must incorporate heavy-duty cooling systems capable of sustaining performance under prolonged thermal stress. This includes radiators with increased surface area, enhanced fin geometry, and optimized airflow pathways engineered specifically for extreme field conditions, offering superior heat dissipation compared to standard cooling configurations [16]. Such design adaptations are essential to maintain stable engine temperatures during continuous high-load operations typical of peak agricultural seasons.

Complementing these structural adaptations, rigorous maintenance protocols are critical to ensuring the reliability and longevity of thermal management systems. Regular and meticulous inspection of cooling components is particularly important in high-temperature and high-dust environments. Maintaining the recommended coolant composition, typically a 50% antifreeze concentrate and 50% distilled water mixture, is essential to achieve optimal heat transfer, prevent corrosion, and maintain appropriate boiling and freezing thresholds [17]. In addition, daily cleaning of radiator screens and cooling fins is necessary to remove dust, crop residues, and chaff generated during dry-season operations, which can otherwise obstruct airflow and significantly reduce cooling efficiency [17]. Failure to sustain effective thermal management can lead to severe consequences, including engine overheating, seizure, and extended equipment downtime, resulting in substantial economic losses during critical agricultural operations [17].

4. TECHNOLOGICAL EVOLUTION: FARM MACHINERY AS A CLIMATE ADAPTATION TOOL

Technological advancements have progressively transformed farm machinery from a passive production asset into an active climate adaptation tool in contemporary agricultural systems. As climate variability increases, it has become essential for machinery to be able to sense, analyze, and respond to changes in field conditions over time and space in order to keep productivity and resource use high. In this setting, the development of climate-smart mechanization is closely linked to the use of digital technologies that allow for real-time monitoring, predictive analytics, and flexible operational control.

4.1. Precision Agriculture (PA) and Data-Driven Systems

The shift to Precision Agriculture (PA) is the most advanced form of climate-smart mechanization. It uses data-driven insights to improve farming at very small spatial and temporal scales [18]. PA changes management from making decisions that affect the whole field to making decisions that affect only one site. This lets machines react in real time to changes in soil properties, crop health, and microclimatic conditions. This method is especially useful when the weather is unpredictable because it makes operations more flexible, cuts down on wasteful inputs, and lowers the risks of production that come with extreme weather.

4.1.1. Role of AI, IoT, and Remote Sensing (RS) in Predictive Farming

Artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), and Remote Sensing (RS) technologies come together to make integrated predictive farming systems that make agricultural operations more resilient (Figure 3) [19]. AI algorithms analyze extensive, multidimensional datasets that include historical and real-time weather patterns, soil moisture variations, nutrient availability, and crop phenological stages to create reliable models of crop growth and yield predictions [19]. These predictive abilities are very important for reducing risk because they let farmers and farm managers know when climate-related stress events are likely to happen and take action to deal with them in a timely manner.

Remote sensing platforms, like satellites and drones, collect high-resolution spatial data that is used by AI-driven analytical frameworks to find extreme events like droughts, floods, and heat stress early on [19]. These systems help people make decisions ahead of time by predicting events like droughts and heat waves. For example, they can change when they plant crops, choose drought- or heat-tolerant varieties, and change how they water and fertilize their plants [19]. This ability to plan is a big change from reactive to predictive farm management when the weather changes.

Figure 3. AI, IoT, and RS enable predictive farming with digital twins and variable rate technology.

By using Variable Rate Technology (VRT) [14], these smart decision-support systems make specialized agricultural machinery work better and more efficiently. VRT uses real-time sensor data and AI-driven prescriptions to automatically change the amounts of water, fertilizers, and agrochemicals that are applied based on the needs of each field [14]. VRT reduces resource waste, nutrient leaching, and runoff by making sure that inputs are delivered when crops actually need them. This improves the environment as a whole and makes operations more efficient and sustainable [20]. In rainfed agricultural systems, AI–RS integration aids adaptive management by constantly monitoring soil moisture levels and predicting changes in rainfall. In irrigated systems, it improves water use by reducing over-application and energy use [19].

4.2. Automation and Robotics in Climate-Adaptive Operations

The rise of automation and robotics is changing the way climate-adaptive farms work. Autonomous machines, like driverless tractors, automated harvesters, and field robots that do specific tasks, use advanced AI algorithms, IoT-enabled sensor networks, and high-precision Global Positioning Systems (GPS) to do field work with little help from people [21]. These technologies work together to help machines see what's going on in the environment, find their way through complicated field shapes, and change their operational settings on the fly. This makes the system more resilient to changes in the weather.

4.2.1. Efficiency and Timeliness

One of the main benefits of autonomous agricultural systems for adapting to climate change is that they can keep working all the time, 24 hours a day, seven days a week [14]. In areas where the climate is becoming more unpredictable and planting, spraying, or harvesting windows are getting smaller and more unpredictable, the ability to keep working without interruption is essential for staying on schedule and protecting yield potential [22]. Autonomous platforms can take advantage of short weather windows, like brief dry spells between rain events, better than traditional systems that are limited by the availability of human labor. This cuts down on delays and helps prevent productivity losses caused by climate change.

4.2.2. Resource and Soil Health Mitigation

Autonomous systems make it possible to apply inputs and manage fields with an accuracy that has never been seen before, giving you fine control over how much water, pesticides, and fertilizers you use [14]. This level of accuracy cuts down on the amount of chemicals used, limits off-target application, and reduces pollution, all of which help make production systems more sustainable and able to withstand climate change. Also, the ongoing shift toward smaller, lighter, and more electric autonomous platforms is an important engineering response to the ongoing problem of soil compaction [13]. These lighter systems put much less pressure on the ground than traditional heavy machinery, making it easier to drive on and keeping the soil structure intact, especially when it is wet or sensitive to moisture [3]. Improved maneuverability and spatial accuracy make targeted interventions even easier, which cuts down on unnecessary field passes and cumulative soil disturbance.

4.2.3. Labor Resilience

The use of self-driving farm equipment solves the problem of chronic labor shortages while also making farming systems safer for workers [13]. Autonomous equipment takes care of tasks that are repetitive, physically demanding, and potentially dangerous. This means that people don't have to do as much manual labor and are protected from extreme heat, agrochemicals, and mechanical risks. By 2025, the use of self-driving tractors alone could cut the need for manual labor by up to 40%, which would be a big change in how farm work is done [14]. This change makes workers more resilient by keeping operations going even when there aren't enough workers or when the weather is bad, and it also lowers the number of heat-related illnesses and accidents at work [7].

4.3. Water Management Mechanization (Micro-Irrigation and Smart Control)

The mechanization of water management has become one of the fastest-growing and most important areas of climate-smart agriculture as water shortages and repeated droughts become more common. In response, technologies that use less water, like micro-irrigation systems with digital control systems, are quickly becoming popular in both irrigated and rainfed production systems [23]. These technologies are meant to improve water delivery at the plant and field levels, lower losses during transport and application, and make the system more resilient to changing and extreme weather.

4.3.1. Weather-Based Smart Systems

Weather-based irrigation systems are a big step forward in adaptive water management. They use smart controllers, environmental sensors, and internet-connected platforms to keep an eye on real-time weather and crop water needs [24]. These systems dynamically change the amount of water used for irrigation and the length of time it runs based on daily evapotranspiration (ET) rates and short-term weather forecasts. This makes sure that the plants and soil get the right amount of water [24]. This feature lets you apply water exactly "when and how the plant and soil actually need it," which cuts down on over-irrigation and keeps evaporation and percolation losses to a minimum [24]. Such accuracy is essential for optimizing water-use efficiency (WUE), maintaining yields in water-scarce environments, and enhancing drought resilience in climate-sensitive agroecosystems [25].

4.3.2. IoT Integration

Adding Internet of Things (IoT) technologies to modern irrigation systems makes them even more useful and flexible. IoT-enabled irrigation platforms use distributed sensors to keep an eye on important factors in real time, such as soil moisture profiles, soil and air temperature, and the water needs of specific crops (Figure 4) [26]. Farmers can use these data streams to keep an eye on how well the system is working from a distance and to carefully plan when and how much to water their crops, even if their fields are far apart [26]. IoT irrigation systems, when used with AI-powered weather forecasting models, let managers plan ahead by delaying irrigation before predicted rain or making the application more efficient during predicted heatwaves [26]. All of these abilities help climate adaptation by keeping crops productive, lowering water stress, and making the system stronger in the face of more frequent droughts and temperature extremes [26].

Figure 4. Water management mechanization using solar pumps and IoT-enabled micro-irrigation to tackle scarcity.

5. THE INDIAN IMPERATIVE: CONTEXT, CONSTRAINTS, AND CLIMATE-SMART MECHANIZATION

India's agricultural sector plays a crucial role in global food security, yet it is disproportionately vulnerable to climate variability. The interplay of significant climatic sensitivity, resource limitations, and intricate socio-economic frameworks demands a uniquely context-driven strategy for climate-smart mechanization. India's mechanization path must balance technological progress with smallholder dominance, labor dynamics, and cost constraints, which makes adaptive mechanization both a necessity for development and climate resilience. This is different from large-scale, capital-intensive farming systems.

5.1. Status of Mechanization and Scale Challenges

India's agricultural landscape is characterized by substantial structural constraints that markedly affect the adoption and dissemination of advanced, climate-resilient machinery. Land fragmentation is the most important barrier among these. Small and marginal holdings, which are farms with less than 2.0 ha, make up about 85% of all agricultural holdings. The average size of an operational farm is only 1.16 ha [27]. This highly fragmented landholding pattern makes it hard for traditional mechanization models to work because they need large, connected land areas to be economically viable.

This kind of fragmentation makes economies of scale work very poorly. The capital costs of owning high-capacity machinery like combine harvesters, paddy transplanters, and laser-guided land levelers are still too high for most Indian farmers [27].

So, mechanization adoption is often limited not by the availability of technology but by the cost, usage rates, and return on investment. Despite these structural problems, India's agricultural machinery sector is growing quickly. This is because there is more focus on operational efficiency, smart irrigation technologies, and precision farming solutions that work in a variety of agroecological conditions [28].

Water management machinery has become a key area for growth in this changing mechanization market. The irrigation machinery market is expected to grow at a Compound Annual Growth Rate (CAGR) of about 10.5% through 2030. This shows that the government is putting a lot of effort into making sure that water is used efficiently as a way to adapt to climate change [23]. This growth is due to more people being aware of the risks of water shortages and how mechanized irrigation can help keep production stable when rainfall patterns become more unpredictable.

For smallholder farmers facing increased climate uncertainty, the main benefit of mechanization often goes beyond maximizing yields to include reducing financial risk and making the best use of resources. Studies on adaptable machinery made for small farms (less than 2 ha) show that annual operating costs can go down a lot, like field preparation costs going down by 63.54%, when compared to traditional methods [29]. Given the scarcity of capital and the high vulnerability to climate change, improving financial resilience through cost-cutting and efficiency gains is a key adaptation goal. Climate-smart mechanization is a key part of making smallholder farming in India more sustainable.

5.2. Role of Collaborative Models: FPOs and Custom Hiring Centers (CHCs)

In India, collaborative institutional models have become very important for climate-smart mechanization because land is divided up and there isn't much capital available. These models are made to get around the problems that come with owning your own machinery by encouraging shared access, group investment, and coordinated use of mechanized resources. By separating mechanization from ownership and connecting it to service-based access instead, these kinds of arrangements make mechanized operations more affordable, scalable, and timely as the climate changes more often.

5.2.1. Custom Hiring Centers (CHCs)

Custom Hiring Centers (CHCs) are decentralized service hubs that let small and marginal farmers rent a wide range of modern agricultural machinery. This way, they can use the equipment without having to pay a lot of money up front (Figure 5) [30].

These centers are strategically placed so that machinery is always available during important times for farming, which improves productivity and timeliness in situations where the weather is bad. Government agencies actively support the creation and growth of CHCs by giving them money, subsidies, and programs to help them build their capacity [30]. They know that CHCs are important for speeding up mechanization.

The CHC model is especially good for high-cost, climate-relevant machinery when it comes to saving money. For instance, farmers who rent specialized Crop Residue Management (CRM) equipment through CHCs only have to pay about 10–33% of the cost of owning it outright [31]. For farmers with less than 45 acres of land, rental-based access is the most cost-effective option because the rates of equipment use would not be high enough to make capital investment worthwhile [31]. CHCs are very important for increasing adaptive capacity and lowering financial risk because they make it easier to get advanced machinery, such as climate-smart tools for managing waste, precision planting, and water-efficient irrigation.

Figure 5. Smallholder farmers in India access high-cost machinery via Custom Hiring Centers (CHCs).

5.2.2. Farmer-Producer Organizations (FPOs)

Farmer-Producer Organizations (FPOs) are institutional aggregators that help small and marginal farmers by bringing together resources, coordinating production activities, and making it easier for them to make decisions as a group [32]. By working together, FPOs can get economies of scale, improve their bargaining power, and cut down on the costs of buying and using advanced agricultural technologies [32]. FPOs can work as good middlemen between farmers, machinery makers, banks, and policy agencies because of how they are set up.

FPOs are more than just a way to bring together businesses; they are also a key way to scale climate-smart mechanization by making training easier, spreading knowledge, and allowing high-cost machinery solutions to be tailored to the specific agroclimatic conditions in each area [33]. FPOs also provide the institutional framework needed to get formal credit, raise investment capital, and coordinate bulk purchases of inputs and machinery. This lowers the cost per unit and makes it easier for people to adopt new technologies [32]. FPOs add to the work of CHCs by putting mechanization into community-level governance structures. This makes sure that climate-adaptive technologies are not only available but also used in a way that is sustainable in smallholder farming systems. As shown in Table 2, financial and institutional models support climate-smart mechanization in India.

Table 2. Financial and institutional models for climate-smart mechanization in India.

Model/Initiative	Primary function in climate adaptation	Financial mechanism	Economic impact/Efficiency
Custom hiring centers (CHCs)	Provides rental access to expensive, climate-smart equipment (e.g., CRM, no-till drills, solar pumps) [30]	Subsidized equipment purchase (up to 80% project cost for CHCs) [34]	Significantly lower operating costs for farmers (10-33% of buying cost; reduced annual usage costs by up to 71%) [29]
Farmer producer organizations (FPOs)	Aggregates demand, pools resources, streamlines access to technology and finance [32]	Facilitates bulk purchasing, provides training, and secures institutional credit [18]	Enables adoption of high-cost technologies despite economies of scale constraints; acts as a driver for sustainable practices [32]
Precision irrigation (Drip/Solar Pumps)	Optimizes Water Use Efficiency (WUE) and provides a reliable power/water source [24]	NABARD refinance support, bank loans (PM-KUSUM, SBI schemes) [35]	Increases income resilience (35% higher income in climate-resilient villages during drought) [36]

5.3. Economic Viability and Quantifiable Impact of CRTs

Using Climate Resilient Technologies (CRTs) gives farm families a measurable economic buffer against the effects of climate change and extreme weather events. A study in Telangana, India, found that farm households in climate-resilient villages made about 35% more money than those in control villages during droughts. This shows how CRTs can help people keep their jobs even when the weather is bad [36]. This income difference shows that CRT adoption is financially viable in vulnerable agroecological areas because it protects yields, makes better use of inputs, lowers production risks, and increases the ability to adapt.

A key goal of using climate-smart machinery is to make the most of resources, not just to get the most output [20]. Smart irrigation systems and Variable Rate Technology (VRT) are two examples of advanced technologies that allow for precise, demand-driven application of important inputs like water and fertilizers. This cuts down on waste and lowers costs [20]. These technologies help lower operational costs and make crops more resistant to both drought and rain by making sure that inputs are delivered in a way that meets the needs of each site. Evidence from agricultural development projects demonstrates that the optimized and integrated application of water management technologies and mechanized operations directly improves water-use efficiency, thereby aligning with broader sustainability and global climate action goals [25].

Because the climate risks and farming systems in India are so different from each other, it is important to promote cost-effective, location-specific CRTs in order to get the best adaptation results. Technologies customized for specific agroclimatic conditions, especially in areas susceptible to drought and limited resources, are more likely to see long-term use and provide significant economic advantages [36]. To make CRT more widely available and make sure that climate-smart mechanization leads to long-term economic resilience for smallholder farmers, targeted support mechanisms like financial incentives, capacity building, and institutional facilitation are needed.

6. POLICY, FINANCE, AND INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORKS FOR SCALED ADOPTION

For climate-smart and climate-resilient agricultural mechanization to be widely used, there must be policies that make it possible, financial tools that are easy to get, and strong institutional frameworks. In India's agricultural system, where smallholders are the majority, market forces alone are not enough to make mechanization widespread when there is a lot of climate risk and not enough money. As a result, focused actions by the government are very important for lowering barriers to adoption, making investments less risky, and spreading climate-resilient technologies to different agroecological regions.

6.1. Government Support Mechanisms and Subsidies

The Indian government has put in place a number of policies to speed up the mechanization of agriculture while also making it more resilient to climate change. The Sub-Mission on Agricultural Mechanization (SMAM) is one of these programs. It is the main program that aims to make it easier for everyone to get farm machinery by giving them money directly. Small and marginal farmers, women farmers, and farmers from Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe groups are all eligible for SMAM. They get financial help that is about 50% of the total cost of approved agricultural machinery [37]. This targeted subsidy structure is meant to lower the costs of getting started and encourage the use of mechanized solutions that make operations more efficient and adaptable to climate change.

The government gives more money to build infrastructure as a group because they know that institutional mechanisms are important for dealing with climate-related environmental problems like burning crop waste and the air pollution that comes with it. For the creation of Custom Hiring Centers (CHCs) with Crop Residue Management (CRM) equipment, subsidies of up to 80% of the total project cost are available [34]. This increased support shows that the government recognizes CHCs as effective ways to provide climate-smart machinery services on a large scale, especially in areas where owning the equipment is not practical or cost-effective.

In addition to these mechanization-focused programs, integrated national programs like the National Innovations in Climate Resilient Agriculture (NICRA) and the National Mission for Sustainable Agriculture (NMSA) take a systems-based approach to adapting to climate change. To make Indian agriculture more resilient to climate change, these programs connect scientific research, technology development, and field-level implementation [38]. NICRA and NMSA help research institutions, extension services, and farming communities work together better.

This helps make climate-resilient mechanization strategies more localized, validated, and spread, which strengthens the institutional foundations needed for long-term and widespread adoption.

6.2. Innovative Financial Instruments Addressing Capital Intensity

Many people agree that high upfront costs are a major barrier to the use of advanced agricultural and climate-smart technologies, especially for smallholder and resource-poor farmers [39]. High costs of machinery, long payback periods, and unpredictable income due to changing weather all make private investment in farms less likely. So, new financial tools that lower entry barriers, spread risk, and match repayment plans with agricultural cash flows are needed to make climate-smart mechanization widely available.

6.2.1. Microfinance and Institutional Credit

Because they are well-known in rural areas and know the financial needs and risk profiles of small and marginal farmers, Microfinance Institutions (MFIs) are in a unique position to help with the adoption of Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA) [40]. MFIs can create customized financial products, such as capital credit loans for buying necessary mechanized equipment like seed drillers, planters, and small-scale irrigation systems. This way, they can meet both fixed capital investment and seasonal working capital needs [40]. MFIs help make climate-resilient technologies more affordable and lower the risk of financial loss by offering flexible repayment plans and smaller loan amounts.

At the same time, formal institutional credit systems are very important for helping climate adaptation by providing long-term capital. The National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) helps certain financial institutions, such as Commercial Banks, Regional Rural Banks, and Non-Banking Financial Companies (NBFCs)/MFIs, refinance their investments in climate adaptation areas that are most important [35]. These areas include small and micro-irrigation systems, technologies for saving and conserving water, and infrastructure for farming in dry areas. All of these are important for making people more resilient to heat and water stress [35]. These refinancing options lower the risk of lending for banks and make it easier for people to get credit.

In addition to these credit-based methods, government-led financing programs also encourage the use of technologies that can withstand climate change. The Pradhan Mantri Kisan Urja Suraksha evam Utthaan Mahabhiyan (PM-KUSUM) scheme and other similar programs make it easier for farmers to get loans to buy solar-powered irrigation pumps. These pumps provide a reliable and energy-efficient water supply when temperatures are high, and rainfall is unpredictable [41]. Solar pump financing makes both the economy and the environment more sustainable by making people less reliant on grid electricity and diesel. This shows how new financial tools can help climate-smart mechanization grow in a world where the weather is unpredictable.

6.3. Systemic Barriers to Technology Adoption

Financial incentives and subsidy mechanisms can help with the high cost of climate-smart machinery, but they are not enough on their own to make sure that people keep using it and using it well. There are still a lot of systemic barriers that make it hard to turn investments in mechanization into measurable climate resilience outcomes. These barriers include human capacity, technological complexity, data governance, and policy coherence. To fully unlock the adaptive potential of climate-smart agricultural technologies, it is necessary to address these non-financial constraints.

6.3.1. The Human-Technology Interface and Skill Gap

The shift to more advanced Precision Agriculture and Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA) machinery, which includes AI-driven analytics, Variable Rate Technology (VRT), and sensor-based systems, puts a lot of pressure on the local technical capacity. To run these kinds of systems well, you need to know how to operate, maintain, and repair machinery (MRO), measure climate and emissions data, and manage an agribusiness. India currently has a big problem with not having enough people with these skills [42]. Without trained staff, even the most advanced technology can be misused, underused, or broken, which hurts both economic returns and climate adaptation goals [42].

Policy changes in India have mostly worked to lower the cost of physical hardware and make it easier for groups to use it by using rental-based models like Custom Hiring Centers (CHCs). However, the operational complexity and maintenance needs of modern climate-smart machinery are still major problems. Because of this, the main goal of policy intervention should change from mostly hardware-based subsidies to systematic development of human capital. This change means that a skilled local group must be quickly formed to manage, maintain, and improve climate-smart technologies. One way to do this is to hire people who will work on it full-time, like Climate-Resilient Agriculture (CRA) technical assistants, or to give existing extension agents, like Kisan Salahkars, more training that is specific to their region's agroclimatic and technological needs [42]. Investing in people is important to make sure that climate-smart machines last a long time and work well.

6.3.2. Data Trust and Policy Fragmentation

The growing use of digital technologies in mechanized farming creates more problems for governance, especially when it comes to who owns farm data, privacy, and trust. Farmers often worry about data security, losing their competitive edge, and more government scrutiny because of AI-enabled data analytics [39]. These worries can make it very hard to share data, which is necessary for precision agriculture platforms to work well. To overcome this obstacle, it is essential to create clear, easily understandable data licensing agreements and enforceable codes of conduct to foster trust and promote farmer engagement in digital ecosystems [39].

Policy fragmentation makes it even harder to adopt new technologies, in addition to data governance. Existing policy initiatives frequently function in isolation, concentrating exclusively on specific instruments like subsidies, credit provision, or technology promotion, while failing to recognize their interdependencies. So, we need a policy framework that brings all of these things together in a systematic way. This means that financial support, access to credit, capacity building, and institutional facilitation should all work together to create incentives [43]. This kind of coordinated approach would make policy measures and CSA practices work better together. It would also make sure that investments in climate-smart mechanization led to widespread use and long-lasting resilience outcomes in a variety of farming systems.

7. CONCLUSION AND STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1. Synthesis of Findings

Climate change is no longer just an outside stressor for farming; it is now a major factor in how farm machinery is changing over time. This review shows that climate adaptation is causing two engineering paths to move in the same direction. The first one is about making core mechanical systems stronger and more efficient with resources. This includes conservation tillage tools that help keep soil moisture and better thermal management systems that can handle higher temperature extremes. The second path involves putting advanced intelligence into machines through AI, IoT, and remote sensing (RS) to make them more accurate and, most importantly, to make sure they are on time in increasingly narrow and unstable weather windows.

In the Global South, especially in India, the main problem with adapting to climate change through mechanization is economic and structural, and it has to do with the fact that landholdings are broken up. Institutional innovations like Custom Hiring Centers (CHCs) and Farmer-Producer Organizations (FPOs) have helped to some extent break down this barrier by making it easier for everyone to get their hands on expensive, climate-smart machinery. These collective models show that pooling resources and giving everyone access to them are the best and most scalable ways to help smallholders adapt to climate stress.

7.2. Recommendations for Policy, R&D, and Industry (Global and India)

Based on how climate affects things, how technology responds, and how institutions limit things, the following strategic recommendations are made to speed up climate-smart mechanization.

7.2.1. R&D Focus: Versatile and Lightweight Systems

Research and development should focus on machinery systems that are flexible, have multiple uses, and are light. These systems should be powered by electric or clean energy sources and be made for small, broken-up pieces of land [13]. Making smaller autonomous and semi-autonomous platforms can greatly lower soil compaction, lower energy needs, and improve the accuracy needed for Variable Rate Technology (VRT) and spot-treatment applications. These kinds of innovations that work on a small scale are important for making sure that smallholder-dominated systems are both agronomically effective and economically viable.

7.2.2. Policy Integration and Sequencing (India)

India's policy on mechanization should move away from a system of subsidies that focuses mostly on hardware and toward a more integrated approach that strategically links financial incentives with building capacity [43]. Programs like SMAM and MFI-linked credit have made it easier for people to get machines, but the biggest investment gap is in human infrastructure. To close the gap between buying technology and using it well in the field, it is important to quickly build a specialized local team for Maintenance, Repair, and Operation (MRO) and basic digital and data analytics support at the block or panchayat level.8 Without this skilled middleman layer, the advanced machinery's ability to adapt is not fully used.

7.2.3. Financial Innovation and Market Mechanisms

Commercial banks and microfinance institutions (MFIs) should offer more specialized credit products to help farmers adopt Climate-Smart Agriculture (CSA). NABARD should help with this by providing refinance mechanisms [35]. At the same time, the government should actively connect Voluntary Carbon Markets (VCMs) to climate-resilient farming methods, like conservation tillage that can be done with special equipment [44]. Market-based incentives for storing soil organic carbon can help farmers make more money, which will help the long-term economic viability of using climate-resilient machinery [44].

7.2.4. Institutional and Governance Strengthening

For climate-smart mechanization to grow, it is important to improve the management, financial, and technical skills of FPOs and CHCs [32]. Encouraging competition among CHC service providers can help small and marginal farmers get better services that are more affordable and open to everyone [45]. Also, concerns about who owns farm data and how it might be misused need to be dealt with before they become problems. To get farmers to trust you and make Precision Agriculture technologies widely used, you need clear, open, and easy-to-understand data licensing frameworks [39].

7.3. Concluding Perspective

Ultimately, climate-resilient agricultural mechanization must be understood as a socio-technical system rather than a standalone technological upgrade. Machinery alone cannot deliver resilience unless it is embedded within supportive institutional structures, skilled human networks, and coherent policy ecosystems. When aligned effectively, climate-smart mechanization has the potential to transform agriculture from a climate-vulnerable sector into an adaptive, resource-efficient, and economically resilient system, particularly for smallholder-dominated agrarian economies such as India.

Funding: This research was supported by the Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, West Bengal (Grant number: PMRF 2402325).
Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.
Transparency: The authors state that the manuscript is honest, truthful, and transparent, that no key aspects of the investigation have been omitted, and that any differences from the study as planned have been clarified. This study followed all writing ethics.
Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
Authors' Contributions: All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
Disclosure of AI Use: The authors declare that ChatGPT (GPT-4.0) was used exclusively for refining the language and improving the clarity of expression in this manuscript. No part of the scientific content, data analysis, results generation, or core intellectual contribution was produced by the tool. The final content has been thoroughly reviewed and verified to ensure its accuracy and integrity.

REFERENCES

[1] A. Gupta and H. Pathak, *Climate change and agriculture in India.* India: Department of Science & Technology, Ministry of Science & Technology, Government of India, 2025.

[2] C. Hufstader, *How will climate change affect agriculture?* United States: Oxfam America, 2024.

[3] R. L. S. Castellano and J. Moroney, "Farming adaptations in the face of climate change," *Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems*, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 206-211, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1017/S174217051700076X

[4] B. Sims and J. Kienzle, "Sustainable agricultural mechanization for smallholders: What is it and how can we implement it?," *Agriculture*, vol. 7, no. 6, p. 50, 2017. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture7060050

[5] R. C. Stone and H. Meinke, "Weather, climate, and farmers: An overview," *Meteorological Applications*, vol. 13, no. S1, pp. 7-20, 2006. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1350482706002519

[6] F. M. Aragón, F. Oteiza, and J. P. Rud, "Climate change and agriculture: Subsistence farmers' response to extreme heat," *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1-35, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1257/pol.20190316

[7] L. L. Jackson and H. R. Rosenberg, "Preventing heat-related illness among agricultural workers," *Journal of Agromedicine*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 200-215, 2010. https://doi.org/10.1080/1059924X.2010.487021

[8] C. L. Walthall *et al.*, *Climate change and U.S. agriculture: An assessment of effects and adaptation responses.* United States: U.S. Department of Agriculture, 2013.

[9] M. Lal, "Global climate change: India's monsoon and its variability," *Journal of Environmental Studies and Policy*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 1-34, 2003.

[10] S. Mashkov, P. Ishkin, S. Zhiltsov, and M. Mastepanenko, "Methods of determining the need for agricultural machinery," *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, vol. 403, no. 1, p. 012079, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/403/1/012079

[11] M. Al-Kaisi, H. M. Hanna, and M. J. Tidman, *Does dry weather limit tillage options?* United States: Integrated Crop Management News, 2002.

[12] S. L. Abreu, C. B. Godsey, J. T. Edwards, and J. G. Warren, "Assessing carbon and nitrogen stocks of no-till systems in Oklahoma," *Soil and Tillage Research*, vol. 117, pp. 28-33, 2011. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2011.08.004

[13] A. Ghobadpour, G. Monsalve, A. Cardenas, and H. Mousazadeh, "Off-road electric vehicles and autonomous robots in agricultural sector: Trends, challenges, and opportunities," *Vehicles*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 843-864, 2022. https://doi.org/10.3390/vehicles4030047

[14] Farmonaut, *Self-driving tractor impact on agriculture: 7 ways.* India: Farmonaut, 2025.

[15] M. Kuzucu and F. Dökmen, "The effects of tillage on soil water content in dry areas," *Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia*, vol. 4, pp. 126-132, 2015. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aaspro.2015.03.015

[16] A. Kalinichenko, V. Havrysh, and V. Hruban, "Heat recovery systems for agricultural vehicles: Utilization ways and their efficiency," *Agriculture*, vol. 8, no. 12, p. 199, 2018. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture8120199

[17] EveryCar.jp, *Cooling system maintenance tips for Japanese tractors in hot climates.* Japan: EveryCar.jp, 2025.

[18] G. Singh, "Agricultural mechanisation development in India," *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 64-82, 2015. https://doi.org/10.22004/ag.econ.229967

[19] G. S. Mmbando, "Harnessing artificial intelligence and remote sensing in climate-smart agriculture: The current strategies needed for enhancing global food security," *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 2454354, 2025. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2025.2454354

[20] K. Kumari, A. Mirzakhani Nafchi, S. Mirzaee, and A. Abdalla, "AI-driven future farming: Achieving climate-smart and sustainable agriculture," *AgriEngineering*, vol. 7, no. 3, p. 89, 2025. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriengineering7030089

[21] T. Hassan, "How autonomous machines are revolutionizing farming in 2025," presented at the AgriNext Conference, Dubai, United Arab Emirates, 2025.

[22] A. Paul and R. Machavaram, "Generative AI in agriculture 4.0: Applications, challenges, and integration in the Indian context," *Food and Humanity*, vol. 5, p. 100889, 2025. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foohum.2025.100889

[23] Mordor Intelligence, *India agricultural machinery market size & share analysis: Industry research report and growth trends*. India: Mordor Intelligence, 2025.

[24] R. Sui and E. D. Vories, "Comparison of sensor-based and weather-based irrigation scheduling," *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 375-386, 2020. https://doi.org/10.13031/aea.13678

[25] FAO, *Climate-smart agriculture case studies 2021—projects from around the world*. Rome: FAO, 2021.

[26] Z. Ahmed, D. Gui, G. Murtaza, L. Yunfei, and S. Ali, "An overview of smart irrigation management for improving water productivity under climate change in drylands," *Agronomy*, vol. 13, no. 8, p. 2113, 2023. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13082113

[27] C. R. Mehta, N. S. Chandel, and T. Senthilkumar, "Status, challenges and strategies for farm mechanization in India," *Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 43-50, 2014.

[28] M. Bhattarai, G. Singh, H. Takeshima, and R. S. Shekhawat, *Farm machinery use and the agricultural machinery industries in India: Status, evolution, implications, and lessons learned. In H. Takeshima & D. Otsuka (Eds.), An evolving paradigm of agricultural mechanization development: How much can Africa learn from Asia?* Washington, DC: International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI), 2020.

[29] S. Kang, H. Jung, S. Kwon, Y. Jang, S. Woo, and Y. Ha, "Promoting the economic sustainability of small-scale farmers through versatile machinery in the Republic of Korea," *Sustainability*, vol. 16, no. 22, p. 10022, 2024. https://doi.org/10.3390/su162210022

[30] C. Beal *et al.*, *Gender and youth responsiveness considerations for targeting, testing and scaling suitable CSA practices and technologies: Learnings from the Climate-Smart Villages*. Switzerland: CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security, 2021.

[31] G. Prem, R. Kumar, A. Kumar, Anurag, U. Singh, and H. Meena, "Role of custom hiring centers in implementation of in-situ crop residue management scheme in Ambala district (Haryana)," *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 1-10, 2019. https://doi.org/10.9734/ajaees/2019/v34i330203

[32] P. Biswas, A. Kumar, S. S. Kumari, S. Pathak, and N. Ahmad, "The role of farmer producer organizations (FPOs) in revamping Indian agriculture landscape," *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 490-506, 2025. https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2025/v31i32920

[33] Marico Innovation Foundation, *How farmer producer organisations and agri startups can co-lead India's agricultural transformation*. India: Marico Innovation Foundation, 2025.

[34] A. P. Ukhanov, E. A. Sidorov, and L. I. Sidorova, "Technology, means of mechanization and power equipment in agriculture," *Bulletin Samara State Agricultural Academy*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 63-69, 2023.

[35] National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development, *Long-Term loans*. India: National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development, 2025.

[36] J. Samuel *et al.*, "Assessing the impact of climate resilient technologies in minimizing drought impacts on farm incomes in drylands," *Sustainability*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 382, 2022. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14010382

[37] Government of India, *Sub-mission on agricultural mechanization (SMAM): Operational guidelines*. India: Government of India, 2025.

[38] Press Information Bureau, *Promotion of climate-resilient and sustainable farming practices*. India: Press Information Bureau, 2025.

[39] G. Khaspuria, A. Khandelwal, M. Agarwal, M. Bafna, R. Yadav, and A. Yadav, "Adoption of precision agriculture technologies among farmers: A comprehensive review," *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 671-686, 2024. https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i72180

[40] AGRI3 Fund, *An opportunity analysis for Indian MFIs to support climate-smart agriculture*. Netherlands: AGRI3 Fund, 2025.

[41] State Bank of India, *Composite minor irrigation*. India: State Bank of India, 2025.

[42] K. M. Kumar, M. Hanumanthappa, N. S. Mavarkar, and S. Marimuthu, "Review on smart practices and technologies for climate resilient agriculture," *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 3021-3031, 2018. https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2018.706.355

[43] M. Van Asseldonk, E. Girvetz, H. Pamuk, C. Wattel, and R. Ruben, "Policy incentives for smallholder adoption of climate-smart agricultural practices," *Frontiers in Political Science*, vol. 5, p. 1112311, 2023. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpos.2023.1112311

[44] K. A. Gopinath, V. K. Singh, and M. Prabhakar, "Voluntary carbon market in India agriculture: Status, challenges and way forward," Policy Paper No. 01, Indian Agricultural Research Institute (IARI). India, 2025.

[45] C. Das and S. B. Patil, "Perception vs. performance: Correlation assessment of custom hiring centres in the era of climate-smart agriculture," *International Journal of Environment and Climate Change*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 513-523, 2024. https://doi.org/10.9734/ijecc/2024/v14i94435