Review of Computer Engineering Research
2025 Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 1-10
ISSN(e): 2410-9142
ISSN(p): 2412-4281
DOI: 10.18488/76.v12i1.4157

A theoretical framework for human-centric cyber-physical production systems in industry 5.0: Enabling resilient, autonomous, and adaptive manufacturing

Akash Abaji Kadam[1+]
Srinivas Reddy Kosna[2]
Supriya Akash Kadam[3]

[1]*Servotech Inc, Chicago, USA.*
Email: aakadam005@gmail.com
[2]*Cisco Systems, Atlanta, USA.*
Email: srinivas.k1290@gmail.com
[3]*TCCI Manufacturing, Decatur, USA.*
Email: supkadam93@gmail.com

(+ Corresponding author)

Article History
Received: 7 January 2025
Revised: 20 February 2025
Accepted: 13 March 2025
Published: 28 March 2025

Keywords
Adaptive manufacturing
Artificial intelligence
Cyber-physical production system
CPPS
Human-machine collaboration
Industry 4.0
Industry 5.0
Smart manufacturing
Sustainable manufacturing.

ABSTRACT

This paper intends to put forward a conceptual framework for the development of Cyber-Physical Production Systems (CPPS), which integrates AI, ML, and IoT technologies with human-centric design principles. Industry 5.0 is focused on a human-centric, resilient, and adaptive manufacturing system where harmony in human-machine collaboration exists. The proposed framework addresses some of the challenges facing currently trending ecologies of manufacturing. In other words, it lets CPPS automatically adjust to changes in the environment and keep learning from real-time data and interactions between people in production settings, making the whole setting more resilient and effective. At the core of this framework is the inclusion of self-learning algorithms and adaptive system architectures that accommodate intuitive human-machine interfaces that support collaborative decision-making and operational flexibility. The paper also includes conceptual implementation scenarios in order to show precisely how this envisioned CPPS framework dynamically copes with these operational challenges and sustains itself at optimal performance to support human roles in complex manufacturing processes. The proposed framework further has the ambition to lay foundation for future empirical research and enable the development of Industry 5.0-aligned smart manufacturing systems in a manner that pays due attention to technological innovation and human well-being. The outcome of our findings underlines the human-centered CPPS for the revolutionary effects it could bring to sustainable and agile manufacturing solutions for the next industrial revolution. Critical challenges such as sustainability, operational disruptions, and collaborative decision-making are addressed, and actionable insights are given for further empirical research and industrial applications.

Contribution/Originality: This study is based on a new, human-oriented approach to the concept of CPPS, self-learning algorithms, adaptive architecture, and human-machine interfaces in Industry 5.0. Unlike any other model before, it combines disruption adaptability in real time with continuous human collaboration and operational flexibility, thus integrating technological innovation with human-centric manufacturing.

1. INTRODUCTION

The fast growth of industrial technologies is actually bringing the manufacturing sector to the edge of the industry 5.0. In this new era, design should focus on people and show itself through resilience and adaptability, going beyond the automation and connectivity of industry. Contrary to its predecessor, which was aimed basically at improvements in efficiency through automation. Industry 5.0 will create an ecosystem of coexistence where human creativeness and intuition work in synergy with advanced cyber-physical systems. It befits the growing demand for more flexible, sustainable, and resilient production processes that would improve productivity, taking into account human well-being and satisfaction at work. With their deep embeddedness of artificial Intelligence (AI), Machine learning (ML), and the IoT, CPPS form the core heart of Industry 5.0. These systems make it possible to share data in real time, do more analytics, and let machines make decisions on their own. This turns traditional manufacturing into an intelligent and flexible space [1]. However, current CPPS frameworks are unable to provide substantial support for Industry 5.0 with respect to facilitated collaboration and adaptive human-machine interaction. Most current systems have favored automation over adaptability, where human involvement is traditionally taken away from the process or has limited the system's resilience in terms of an unexpected disruption [2].

This paper tries to fix these problems by suggesting a new, human-centered framework for CPPS that changes the role of human operators as important parts of an adaptable manufacturing ecosystem. The framework introduces theoretical constructs for embedding human feedback, adaptive self-learning algorithms, and resilient response mechanisms into CPPS, enabling systems to autonomously adjust to real-time changes while remaining attuned to human inputs. In doing so, it aims to enhance system resilience, reduce downtime, and foster a collaborative environment where humans and machines work cohesively to address complex manufacturing challenges.

The paper mostly talk about three pain points: creating a design that is focused on people, creating a CPPS resilience that can operate without human intervention, and adding self-learning features that will let CPPS keep getting better based on feedback from both machine data and human operators. The desiderata outcome from the proposed framework would lead to the core principles of Industry 5.0 and lay a foundation for further research in the development of manufacturing systems that are adaptable, sustainable, and thoroughly human-friendly.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The rapid development of Industry 5.0 is based on the progress made by Industry 4.0, characterized by the integration of cyber-physical systems, artificial intelligence, and the Internet of Things [3]. Industry 5.0 remains unique in its concentration on a human-centric approach toward smart manufacturing, with the intention of making systems that could be adaptive, collaborative, and sustainable. Current literature contributes to the significant understanding of the basic enabling technologies of Industry 5.0 and its eventual capabilities for the surmounting of some shortcomings within its predecessor [4].

2.1. Manufacturing Cyber-Physical Systems (CPS)

CPS is a new way of combining digital control systems with physical processes, which might make it possible to process large amounts of data and make decisions in real time [5]. In some recent works, CPS is identified as being able to interconnect physical components like sensors, machines, and robotics through digital interfaces for an interconnected and adaptable production environment [6]. Even though CPS makes it easier to process data in real time, fully integrating it with industry 5.0 will not be easy because it is focused on people [7].

2.2. Artificial Intelligence and Real Time Data Analytics

AI is also at the core of Industry 5.0 architecture: predictive maintenance, quality control, and operational optimization are related to major tasks in which it plays an important role [8]. Research underlines the fact that big volumes of sensor data are foreseen to be used for the prediction of equipment failure to reduce unplanned stops thanks to the use of AI. Furthermore, studies have demonstrated that AI-driven real-time analytics enhances the speed and accuracy of decisions, which are crucial for the CPPS framework [9]. Whereas the implementation of Industry 5.0 is oriented toward ethical AI, which aligns AI decision-making both with human oversight and organizational values, this area has been under increased research priority [10].

2.3. Internet of Things and Interconnected Manufacturing

IoT is a solution for manufacturers to keep all equipment connected to each other continuously, therefore enabling data interchange and facilitating the remote monitoring feature. IoT sensors collect crucial data from machinery, which AI algorithms then streamline to create an efficient production process in real time [11]. Although IoT has helped a lot, interoperability between different devices and platforms is still an issue on which much recent research has focused to find universal standards that will enable seamless integration within the CPPS frameworks [12].

2.4. Human-Machine Collaboration in Ethical Light

Industry 5.0 is focused on collaboration between humans and machines. CPS environments will be adapted to this view by strengthening the human role within manufacturing processes. The literature underlined how human-machine interfaces (HMIs), indispensable for intuitive interactions, provide, at the same time, the possibility for human operators to effectively monitor and adjust the operations of machines [13]. It further demands the reassessment of human roles within a highly automated milieu with regard to ethical issues such as data privacy, manpower safety, and transparency of AI made decisions. Some human-centered approaches to CPPS are under consideration; these strive to achieve a balance between operational autonomy and human oversight, that is, a collaborative ecosystem supportive of safety and ethical standards [14].

2.5. Sustainability and Resource Optimization

Industry 5.0 also focuses on sustainable best practices through the optimization of energy and resources [15]. Several studies have pointed out the importance of resource management systems that are run by AI and can change how much energy they use based on real-time demand and the availability of renewable energy. Industry 5.0 supports sustainable best practices within the CPPS frameworks by trying to minimize the environmental impact without sacrificing productivity [16].

3. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE AND WORKFLOW

Compared to Industry 5.0, the CPPS architecture proposed puts humans at the center, seamlessly fusing human capabilities with machine capabilities and equipping the manufacturing environment to be resilient and adaptive. The next section describes a proposed conceptual architecture that goes into more detail about how the system's main parts work together and what kind of workflow is needed to achieve human-machine synergy, self-driving decision-making, and constant adaptability.

3.1. Layered System Architecture

The Perception Layer, the Cognitive Layer, and the Execution Layer make up the three main layers of the proposed CPPS architecture. Every layer plays a certain role in accomplishing functionality from data acquisition to decision-making up to execution. This design approach simplifies the adaptation, expansion, and maintenance of the system.

Perception Layer: The perception layer is the sensorial interface in respect of a CPPS; it captures data emanating from various sources, including IoT-enabled sensors, human inputs, and external feeds such as market demand and information related to suppliers. This section will continuously monitor the operational parameters of the environment and user feedback for real-time insight into the adaptation of the system. This layer also includes advanced HMI devices as a part of human-centric interaction, providing an opportunity to inject operator preferences or adjustments directly, thereby making the system behavior responsive to human needs.

Cognitive Layer: This layer represents the "brain" of CPPS. Data coming from the perception layer should be analyzed and interpreted at this layer into something the user can act on. It uses powerful embedded AI and machine learning algorithms, hence providing intelligent autonomous decisions for resilience and self-learning adaptation. Its main functions include anomaly detection, predictive maintenance, and pattern recognition, which will make the system detect when a disruption may arise and respond adaptively. This layer also introduces a feedback loop, incorporating operator input; thus, the system learns from interaction with the humans in a way that functionality over time becomes aligned with expertise and preferences of operators.

The Execution Layer: This layer offers the implementation of decisions and preparation across the production environment for physical actions. It interfaces with production machinery, robotic arms, and other automated equipment to execute system directives on their own. The layer also offers decentralized processing nodes that enable individual parts of the component to make local decisions. This functionality helps in improving the resilience and reaction time of the system to disruptions. This layer has decentralized characteristics so that the operations run smoothly in case specific parts experience failure or need maintenance.

3.2. Workflow of the Proposed Framework

The workflow in this architecture of CPPS is designed to enable a seamless series of data flow and decisions within the perception, cognitive, and execution layers. The simple workflow cycle will contain the following main steps, as shown below:

Data Acquisition and Interpretation: Data acquisition in the perception layer, through real-time data on sensors, human-machine interfaces, and other external sources, will be perceived. These will then be filtered for relevance and accuracy, pre-processed, and passed on for higher-order analysis to the Cognitive Layer. During this phase, operators can also insert preferences or provide feedback through intuitive HMI devices that may influence operations directly.

Data Processing and Decision Making: The Cognitive Layer does data analytics with the use of AI-driven algorithms that highlight patterns, thus detecting any anomalies. This system uses predictive models to assess the potential impact of detected issues and automatically determine the optimal response. For example, if predictive maintenance data show a likelihood of machine failure, the Cognitive Layer may initiate, on its own, a reconfiguration of production lines to route production around an affected machine, assuring continuity of output. Furthermore, human feedback loops provide means to incorporate operator insights into the decision flow for further refinement of responses by considering operators' experience and judgment.

Adaptive Response and Execution: After reaching a decision, the Cognitive Layer transfers directives to the Execution Layers. This is the point of application for autonomous control mechanisms in conjunction with machinery and robotic systems to perform the work. For localized decisions, where immediate action may be essential, dispersed processing nodes at the Execution Layer can enable response without waiting for centralized approval of the activity and thereby minimize standstills and maintain workflow continuity. The system is flexible in that it manages to adapt its activities in response to continuous changes in either production demands, human inputs, or even unexpected disturbances arising, and therefore, its ability to resist is heightened.

3.3. Human-Machine Collaboration in Workflow

The main characteristic of this CPPS workflow is its human-machine collaboration. Operators in it are not limited to a certain job, as they would have been to any kind of traditional automated framework, but as a cogenerating partner with HMIs that realize real-time insight into the system and let intuitive interaction take place. Operators can thus modify settings, interfere with decision-making, and give feedback, all instantly integrated into the workflow of CPPS. This integration makes it possible for people to work together, using both human knowledge and artificial intelligence to make the system more flexible and the operators happier.

Execution Layer: The identified need for intervention by the Analysis Layer automatically triggers a reconfiguration at the Execution Layer. Non-critical machinery goes into standby mode to save energy; the production tasks are then rerouted through other machines to keep the production output. In the meantime, a scheduled maintenance window informs the maintenance personnel when they should prepare replacement parts for effective downtime.

Result: This case proves that CPPS can avoid unscheduled downtimes, reduce losses in production, and make machine operations come into harmony with human decisions to achieve the best balance between high productivity and effective resource use.

4.2. Scenario 2: Customization and Flexible Manufacturing for Small-Batch Production

On the other hand, the industry 5.0-enabled manufacturing facility is experiencing an increase in demand for customization due to small-batch orders in consumer electronics. As a result, the proposed CPPS enables flexible manufacturing in consideration of human preference/input to cope effectively.

Perception Layer: IoT sensors and scanners will identify the customization requirements of each batch for the system. The customer specification, such as color preference or feature preference, is input via HMI by the operators. In this way, the system can set appropriate settings in the production machinery.

Cognitive Layer: In the Cognitive Layer, AI-driven algorithms look at a list of specifications to figure out the best order or sequence to use manufacturing steps for each batch. Using history and lessons learned, it will find the most efficient paths to complete these unique orders with the least setup time and waste. It will also ask for confirmation or changes from operators to ensure all customization meets the quality standard.

Execution Layer: The machinery in the Execution Layer consortium is automatically adjusted by specifications set. Robots and automated tools work in tandem with operators to manufacture the assembly, test, and package. Its flexibility allows sessions with very short setup times so high throughput is kept even with batches of customized requirements.

Results: As a result of this, it shows that the CPPS could adapt very well to highly customized and low-volume productions with no loss of efficiency. The system also avoids problems with quality and customer satisfaction with human input, hence aligned with Industry 5.0 in terms of personalization.

4.3. Chapter Scenario 3: Energy Optimization in Sustainable Manufacturing

Energy consumption reduction in a renewable energy component manufacturing facility is considered one of the major requirements to reach the pedestal of sustainability. Therefore, the CPPS architecture may dynamically optimize energy use according to real-time energy data and weather forecasting along with the production schedule.

Perception Layer: Sensors throughout the facility track energy usage, ambient temperature, and energy availability from renewable sources. Renewable sources might include a good example of solar panels. Energy costs and usage trends are continually collected and presented to operators, who can input preferences such as low-energy operations during peak cost hours.

Cognitive Layer: This layer performs processing based on machine learning algorithms to predict spikes in energy costs or low renewable energy generation. With this information, the system will automatically schedule computationally intensive operations-material processing, in this case, during hours when demand for energy is at a minimum or when renewable energy generation is maximum. It's also possible to adjust priorities from both operators for different levels of human judgment incorporated into decision processes regarding energy management.

Execution Layer: The Execution Layer works in isolation to optimize schedules and automatically switches off superfluous equipment when energy demand shoots up. It also interfaces with decentralized energy storage units to use the reserve power during peak times, thereby assuring continuity of production without overloading the grid.

Results: This case illustrates how the CPPS orphans match energy usage to the availability of renewable energy for sustainable manufacturing. It is a human-centered design that will allow operators to lead energy management strategies that achieve production goals with sustainability objectives.

4.4. Situation 4: Adaptive Response of Smart Manufacturing to Supply Chain Disruptions

Supply chain disruptions are quite common in pharmaceutical manufacturing because of numerous regulatory constraints coupled with erratic availability of raw material. This is where the proposed CPPS can help mitigate the effects of disruptions through dynamic adjustments in production workflows and inventory management.

Perception Layer: This solution provides continuous tracking of the inventory level, delivery schedule, and quality of raw materials. It identifies HMI delays or problems in quality, which enables operators to put additional input into the critical chain of supply.

Cognitive Layer: Any case of delay or shortage of supply would have to be weighed by the Cognitive Layer through other strategies that could keep the level of production by probably considering the use of substitute materials, changes in the production schedules of the same product, or use substitution. Following this, AI algorithms evaluate the achievability and cost repercussions of every strategy before proffering options to the operators for a final choice.

Execution Layer: This layer, after an alternative strategy has been picked, will execute resource reallocation and rescheduling. In the case of an expected shortage of only one ingredient, the system can try to make batches that do not require this ingredient, hence sustaining output after a disturbance. The robots and automation devices will also adapt to the changed workflow by readjusting their tasks.

Results: This case will finally come out and show how flexibly the CPPS can answer supply chain disruptions with continuity assurance on production and without affecting product quality by integrating input from operators, making use of human expertise in decision-making autonomy, and forming the basis of resilient manufacture in an uncertain environment.

Theoretical scenarios further help to understand how the proposed CPPS architecture can enable adaptive, human-centered, and sustainable manufacturing solutions for the contexts of Industry 5.0. It refers to the basic challenges by leveraging IoT, AI, and human-machine collaboration in a wide variety of manufacturing applications, such as high-volume production, customization, energy optimization, and supply chain resilience. Each scenario underlines the flexibility of the framework and its alignment with Industry 5.0 goals, underlining the potential to change the face of modern manufacturing environments.

5. BENEFITS, CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

The proposed Industry 5.0 framework of CPPS brings in several benefits by making manufacturing human-centric, adaptive, and sustainable. Based on these expected advantages, challenges to be faced, and future research directions for advancements toward this framework, enabling industrial adoption is discussed hereby.

Benefits of the Proposed Framework: It is expected that advanced technologies, such as artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things, and human-machine collaboration, when integrated into modern manufacturing environments, may prove quite beneficial.

5.1. Key Advantages Include

Increased Flexibility and Adaptability: The framework of CPPS equips the manufacturing systems for dynamic adaptation against changeable needs resulting from changes in customer demand, needs for customization, or supply chain disruptions. The flexibility provided supports small batch production with personalization; thus, it assesses the capability with Industry 5.0's human-centered customization.

Improved Predictive Maintenance, Reduced Downtime: This is where, within its contribution to predictive analytics and real-time monitoring, the framework ensures that unplanned downtimes are at a minimum due to the installation of predictive maintenance. It allows early fault detection via IoT sensors and AI algorithms, hence allowing manufacturers to make an advance action against the detected possible issues and avoid production interruptions and prolong machinery life.

Energy and Resource Optimization: There is a possibility to manufacture using sustainable practices with CPPS, optimize energy use according to real-time data on availability, and use renewable energy. Adaptive energy management transforms resources to be more usable and productive for meeting the sustainability goals without sacrificing productivity.

Improved collaboration between humans and machines: The framework highlights a human-centered approach in which human competence and machine intelligence interact. More adherence to control of operators in the manufacturing process through human-machine interfaces (HMI) provides for more intuitive, safer, and productive interaction with complex systems.

Increased Resilience and Scalability: CPPS can be resilient against such external variances as a supply chain disruption or raw material shortage. The architecture would be scalable; entry or expansion of operations would be easily performed by any manufacturer, thus offering support in growth and adaptation within an increasingly uncertain environment.

5.2. Challenges in the Implementation of the Framework

The CPPS framework has a lot of significant advantages for its adoption in Industry 5.0. Yet, this development also comes with challenges. Some of the important ones include:

High Initial Costs and Complexity of Integration: CPPS demands huge investments in IoT devices, AI equipment, and data storage infrastructures that might be unaffordable for all small and medium-sized businesses (SMEs). In addition, such technologies are not so easy to integrate into existing legacy systems due to technical-operational issues.

Data Security and Privacy Concerns: Functionality based on connected devices and vast amounts of data collection gives serious cause for concern as far as data security and privacy are concerned. Safeguarding sensitive data and maintaining cybersecurity from potential dangers is another issue that is critical in terms of seeking extensive acceptance of CPPS.

The Skills Gap and Adaptation at the Workforce Level: Successful implementation of CPPS in industry calls for skilled labor capable of managing and interacting with advanced technologies. Data science, AI, and IoT management find their applications in CPPS design, enhancements, and operations, revealing significant gaps in skills. Training programs for the workers will be necessary to first enable them to cope with the requirements from Industry 5.0.

Standardization and Interoperability Issues: In this respect, the lack of standardized protocols that happen to be between IoT devices and AI algorithms is somehow an obstacle to the seamless interoperability of diversified technologies within the CPPS framework. There is a need for the development of interoperable systems with universally accepted standards that are going to foster collaboration and data exchange across diverse components.

The difficulty in real-time decision-making involves manufacturing systems that become increasingly autonomous, where one might find it difficult to address whether AI-driven decisions are compatible with human goals and ethics.

Advanced algorithms will be necessary for real-time decision-making to bring optimization into operations at all levels relevant to safety and quality considerations.

5.3. Future Directions for Research

In order to fully realize the potential inherent in industry 5.0, it will be necessary to guide future research to meet such challenges.

Cost-effective solutions will be developed by focusing research on less expensive IoT devices, AI-driven software, and cloud computing solutions that reduce the barriers to entry for SMEs. Because open-source technologies, modular IoT frameworks, and scalable AI platforms can easily decrease costs and make integration simpler, exploring future research areas may be involved in the use of cybersecurity innovations in CPPS, improved HMIs, standardization and interoperability frameworks, and workforce training and upskilling programs.

Cybersecurity Innovations in CPPS: Given the increasing complexity of cyber threats, it is crucial to focus cybersecurity development on CPPS. Development of strong encryption protocols, intrusion detection systems, and secure mechanisms for data sharing will be some of the key imperatives toward safeguarding sensitive data and ensuring the integrity of a system.

Improved HMIs: They are needed to further the working relationship between humans and machines, keeping in mind the improvement of user experience and safety of HMI systems. This may involve research into intuitive interface design, augmented reality applications, and wearable technologies that make working relations between men and machines easier and smoother.

Standardization and Interoperability Frameworks: Standard protocols on how data must be exchanged, devices inter-operated, and how communication between the components of CPPS is to be done shall be researched. Such standards would ensure ease of integration, compatibility across diverse devices, and better collaboration among diverse technologies. Ethics in AI and decision-making algorithms: Given the broadening role of AI in autonomous manufacturing, it imposes a consequent requirement for its ethical development. The future-related research will concern algorithms that introduce ethical decision-making, transparency, and fairness, hence aligning AI with human's values and operational goals.

Real-time optimization with methods for sustainable manufacturing: Sustainability is among the foundational pillars of Industry 5.0. Specific research might focus on real-time optimization methodologies of energy consumption and resource allocation through AI models that dynamically readjust operations in view of ambient environmental conditions. This will automatically make it easier to meet the energy efficiency and sustainability goals set by the framework.

Workforce Training and Upskilling Programs: Bridging the skills gap is an important focus of CPPS adoption. Effective training methods, digital literacy programs, and upskilling initiatives will surely prepare the workforce to work at Industry 5.0 levels. Collaboration from academia, industry, and government can accelerate these activities and make workers ready with an expanded skill set so as to work effectively in a state-of-the-art manufacturing environment.

6. CONCLUSION

It has also presented a theoretical framework for CPPS targeted at environments in Industry 5.0. The proposed framework enables a forward-looking approach toward transforming modern manufacturing with IoT, artificial intelligence, and collaboration of humans and machines by putting a human-centered and adaptive sustainable manufacturing approach. It shall bring dynamic adaptability, optimization of resources, and enrichment of human interaction in line with changing production requirements, thus safeguarding the core tenets of Industry 5.0: personalized production, operational resilience, and sustainable growth.

This study showed how CPPS can be used in real life by using hypothetical examples like predictive maintenance, customized manufacturing, energy optimization, and the ability of the supply chain to adapt. Each scenario has demonstrated the strength of flexibility and adaptation that the proposed system possesses while, at the same time, showing how any kind of operational challenge can be handled by the system provided that human input is included in making the decisions. With real-time data processing and autonomous response mechanisms, this framework makes sure the production systems are responsive yet resilient to uncertainties.

Such difficulties in its implementation lie in high initial costs, data security, and requirements for skilled workforces. Because of these problems, more research and development need to be done on the architecture of CPPS to make it easier to use and more flexible in a variety of manufacturing situations. This would also require the need for future research in areas such as cybersecurity, IoT and AI cost-effective solutions, ethics in AI decision-making, and workforce training programs to complete the wide gap in skills.

Summarily, the CPPS conceptual structure for Industry 5.0 will definitely smoothly revolutionize manufacturing into smart, sustainable, and human-centered production environments. This model follows the vision of Industry 5.0 in the empowerment of humans and machines toward frictionless collaboration, driving innovation with consideration of social and environmental responsibilities. This framework might help define the future of making things so they are smart, efficient, resilient, ethical, and long-lasting by addressing current problems and boosting research in designated areas.

Funding: This study received no specific financial support.
Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.
Transparency: The authors state that the manuscript is honest, truthful, and transparent, that no key aspects of the investigation have been omitted, and that any differences from the study as planned have been clarified. This study followed all writing ethics.
Competing Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.
Authors' Contributions: All authors contributed equally to the conception and design of the study. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

[1] X. Yao, J. Zhou, Y. Lin, Y. Li, H. Yu, and Y. Liu, "Smart manufacturing based on cyber-physical systems and beyond," *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, vol. 30, pp. 2805-2817, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-017-1384-5

[2] M. R. Endsley, "From here to autonomy: Lessons learned from human–automation research," *Human Factors*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 5-27, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720816681350

[3] L. D. Xu, E. L. Xu, and L. Li, "Industry 4.0: State of the art and future trends," *International Journal of Production Research*, vol. 56, no. 8, pp. 2941-2962, 2018. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2018.1444806

[4] A. Adel, "Future of industry 5.0 in society: Human-centric solutions, challenges and prospective research areas," *Journal of Cloud Computing*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 40, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13677-022-00314-5

[5] E. A. Lee, "CPS foundations," in *Proceedings - Design Automation Conference*, 2010. http://doi.org/10.1145/1837274.1837462

[6] K. Ding, F. T. Chan, X. Zhang, G. Zhou, and F. Zhang, "Defining a digital twin-based cyber-physical production system for autonomous manufacturing in smart shop floors," *International Journal of Production Research*, vol. 57, no. 20, pp. 6315-6334, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1566661

[7] B. Dafflon, N. Moalla, and Y. Ouzrout, "The challenges, approaches, and used techniques of CPS for manufacturing in industry 4.0: A literature review," *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, vol. 113, pp. 2395-2412, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-020-06572-4

[8] Z. Li, Y. Wang, and K.-S. Wang, "Intelligent predictive maintenance for fault diagnosis and prognosis in machine centers: Industry 4.0 scenario," *Advances in Manufacturing*, vol. 5, pp. 377-387, 2017. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40436-017-0203-8

[9] L. Cattaneo, A. Polenghi, and M. Macchi, "A framework to integrate novelty detection and remaining useful life prediction in industry 4.0-based manufacturing systems," *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*, vol. 35, no. 4-5, pp. 388-408, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1080/0951192x.2021.1885062

[10] N. Ahmed, M. Wahed, and N. C. Thompson, "The growing influence of industry in AI research," *Science*, vol. 379, no. 6635, pp. 884-886, 2023. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.ade2420

[11] M. S. B. Abd Rahman, E. Mohamad, and A. A. B. Abdul Rahman, "Development of IoT—enabled data analytics enhance decision support system for lean manufacturing process improvement," *Concurrent Engineering*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 208-220, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1177/1063293x20987911

[12] M. Noura, M. Atiquzzaman, and M. Gaedke, "Interoperability in internet of things: Taxonomies and open challenges," *Mobile Networks and Applications*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 796-809, 2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11036-018-1089-9

[13] G. Robert, J. Hockey, and A. J. Maule, "Unscheduled manual interventions in automated process control," *Ergonomics*, vol. 38, no. 12, pp. 2504-2524, 1995. https://doi.org/10.1080/00140139508925283

[14] D. Trentesaux and S. Karnouskos, "Engineering ethical behaviors in autonomous industrial cyber-physical human systems," *Cognition, Technology & Work*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 113-126, 2022. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10111-020-00657-6

[15] M. Ghobakhloo, M. Iranmanesh, A. Grybauskas, M. Vilkas, and M. Petraitė, "Industry 4.0, innovation, and sustainable development: A systematic review and a roadmap to sustainable innovation," *Business Strategy and the Environment*, vol. 30, no. 8, pp. 4237-4257, 2021. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2867

[16] C. G. Machado, M. P. Winroth, and E. H. D. Ribeiro da Silva, "Sustainable manufacturing in industry 4.0: An emerging research agenda," *International Journal of Production Research*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 1462-1484, 2020. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1652777